

Contents lists available at Science-Gate

# International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences

Journal homepage: http://www.science-gate.com/IJAAS.html



# Exploring the impact of social relationships on work productivity of employees: A structural equation modeling approach



Rosnaida Rosnaida 1, \*, Syaifuddin Syaifuddin 1, Sofiyan Sofiyan 2

- <sup>1</sup>Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Prima Indonesia, Medan City, Indonesia
- <sup>2</sup>Fakultas Sosial Sains, Universitas Pembangunan Paanca Budi, Medan City, Indonesia

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 October 2023 Received in revised form 3 March 2024 Accepted 1 April 2024

Keywords:
Work productivity
Social relationships
Supervision
Motivation
Structural equation modeling

#### ABSTRACT

Improving work productivity is crucial for a company's ability to build strong trust, particularly with its customers. This study aims to explore how social relationships impact employee work productivity by acting as a mediator between supervision and motivation. The research utilizes a quantitative method, specifically a structural equation modeling (SEM) based on SmartPLS, and was carried out at PDAM Tirta Silau Piasa in Asahan Regency, involving 185 employees. Data was collected through surveys using a Likert scale. Findings reveal that social relationships play an important role in linking supervision and motivation to the work productivity of employees at PDAM Tirta Silau Piasa Asahan Regency. The study suggests that supervision should maintain a focus on professionalism to ensure objective outcomes. Additionally, enhancing employee motivation should include not just the employees but also offering rewards such as vacation packages for families and scholarships for children's education to keep motivation levels high.

© 2024 The Authors. Published by IASE. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

### 1. Introduction

In the face of global competitiveness, the main concentration of organizations is on Human Resources. The organization's ability to develop is nothing more than the organization's Human Resources' ability to develop (Bhatti et al., 2021). Human resources carry out organizational tasks within a structured and coordinated framework (Adil and Hamid, 2020). Human resources are indispensable and the organization's primary asset, with the thought that the available resources can only be managed with human resources to manage immovable assets and financial assets (Rivaldo, 2021). Therefore, human resource development is not a burden but an opportunity for organizational growth (Bibi et al., 2022). A company is a formal association system of two or more people working together to achieve a goal (Hirschi and Spurk, 2021).

Companies building a competitive advantage are determined by employee productivity factors (Leitão et al., 2021). Productivity can be defined as the ratio between the results achieved and labor involvement

Email Address: rosnaidaunpri@gmail.com (R. Rosnaida) https://doi.org/10.21833/ijaas.2024.04.012

© Corresponding author's ORCID profile: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-3590-003X 2313-626X/© 2024 The Authors. Published by IASE. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

time. Furthermore, employee unit of productivity is improved through good social relations within the company. Currently, very few research results make social relations an intervening variable in supporting work productivity (Kristanti et al., 2022). Work productivity is the key to the company's success in competing with competitors. Leaders can monitor the extent of their subordinates' productivity level through work behavior. Employees will show good behavior daily when carrying out their duties and responsibilities (Lubis et al., 2023).

Productivity is more than science, technology, and management (Syaifuddin et al., 2023). Furthermore, productivity is also a philosophy and mental attitude that is always motivated to develop to get a better quality of life in the future (Song and Gao, 2020). Productivity is also described as the level of efficiency used to produce goods and services (Chandra and Ginting, 2022). Productivity shows how to use resources well in producing commodities (Demartini and Beretta, 2020). Every organization, whether it is a company or not, will always strive so that members or workers involved in organizational activities can provide success in the form of high work productivity to meet the goals set (Debus et al., 2020).

One of the critical factors in increasing employee productivity is building solid social relationships within the company. Social relationships can be defined as how individuals react to themselves; they

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author.

also involve environmental adaptations, such as eating alone, dressing alone, obeying rules, and so on (Swanson et al., 2020). Social relationships begin at home and develop in broader social settings, such as school and peers. Children's difficulties in social relations with peers are usually caused by powerful parenting (Zhaoyang et al., 2021). Life situations in the family are in the form of wrong parenting. Generally, the parents can still correct it, but social situations with peers and the surrounding environment are challenging. It is because of these factors that are always lived in daily life that can affect an employee's social life pattern (Tekin et al., 2023). The results of previous research show that social relationships directly have a significant effect on employee work productivity (Ramli et al., 2023). However, good social relations are not always considered capable of increasing work productivity (Tajvidi and Karami, 2021). Good social relations between employees and their leaders often make employees behave negatively and harm the company (Paruzel et al., 2021).

Furthermore, work supervision is an essential element in increasing employee productivity. Work supervision is currently seen as an effort by management to maintain the work productivity of its employees. Work supervision is currently seen as an effort by management to maintain staff productivity (Suriagiri et al., 2022). Supervision is reviewing work results and, if necessary, corrective action to ensure those results are by the plan (Martin et al., 2021). All actions taken to ensure and guarantee that work or tasks are completed by the plan that has been planned, the regulations or policies put in place, and the orders or instructions issued to implement the plan are referred to as supervision (Lee and Kusumah, 2020). Supervision is needed to ensure what has been achieved, assess whether the implementation is running smoothly, and make adjustments to appropriate produce performance (Saputri et al., 2020). The results of research conducted (Bani-Melhem et al., 2021; Herliana et al., 2023) stated that work supervision directly has a significant effect on work productivity. In contrast, Lim et al. (2021) and Ronen and Donia (2020) highlighted that work supervision affects employees' concerns, which in turn influences the demand for greater work creativity from each employee.

Furthermore, motivation is necessary for company leaders to consider increasing their employees' work productivity. Furthermore, motivation is a desire within a person that causes that person to take action, and of course, this will affect a person's performance (Riyanto et al., 2021). If a person's work motivation is good, then that person's performance will also be good, and vice versa (Hemakumara, 2020). Work motivation is considered to have a positive relationship between motivation and work productivity (Andreas, 2022). This means that employees with high motivation tend to perform well. Otherwise, employees whose performance is low may be due to low motivation

(Putra and Mujiati, 2022). Previous research indicates that work motivation significantly influences work productivity (Nurmayanti and Narlan, 2020; Arafat and Putra, 2021). However, high work motivation does not necessarily lead to increased productivity among employees (Pham and Nguyen, 2020). This is undoubtedly a fundamental question: What kind of motivation most effectively increases employee productivity

PDAM Tirta Silau Piasa Asahan Regency is one of the offices engaged in drinking water supply services in Asahan and surrounding areas. Based on researchers' observations, so far, the work productivity of employees at PDAM Tirta Silau Piasa Asahan Regency has yet to be maximized to improve services to the community. This finding can be corroborated by Table 1.

**Table 1:** Number of community grievances in 2022

|           | , 8              |
|-----------|------------------|
| Month     | Amount complaint |
| January   | 31               |
| February  | 45               |
| March     | 36               |
| April     | 52               |
| May       | 47               |
| June      | 42               |
| July      | 38               |
| August    | 67               |
| September | 43               |
| October   | 74               |
| November  | 63               |
| December  | 58               |

Table 1 shows that the number of community complaints about the services provided by PDAM Tirta Silau Piasa employees in Asahan Regency could be more optimal. Complaints have been submitted, such as insufficient water quantity, payment bills that do not match the measuring instrument, and slow response to new customers who want to install water supply. If this continues, it will adversely impact the image of PDAM Tirta Silau Piasa Asahan Regency as a company owned by the Indonesian government that provides excellent service, especially to the community in Asahan Regency. Furthermore, this research is fundamental, considering that its results can be used as material for management evaluation, especially in increasing employee productivity. Finally, this research also has a high novelty value. Few studies have identified social relations as a mediating variable in improving work supervision and motivation and increasing work productivity.

#### 2. Literature review

## 2.1. Work productivity

High productivity can improve the quality of a company's products or services (Børing and Grøgaard, 2023). Companies can produce better products or provide more satisfactory client services if production or service processes are more efficient (Leitão et al., 2021). Companies can provide faster services to clients if their workers work productively

(Olayisade and Awolusi, 2021). To support employee productivity, companies can invest in training and development programs, machinery investment, and research (Oseremen et al., 2022). This condition is necessary to improve employee productivity continuously and emphasize operational costs' cost efficiency (Chahal et al., 2021). The company will also continue to build an excellent reputation with consumers and the owners of the Company (Syaifuddin et al., 2023).

### 2.2. Social relationships

Social relationships are needed in companies to reduce the risk of work conflicts. Companies that emphasize the importance of maintaining social relationships will be able to carry out positive work coordination (Carlsen et al., 2021). This will trigger high work solidarity among employees (Van Orden et al., 2021). One form of social relations can be seen from the concern of employees to remind each other not to make work mistakes (Aziz et al., 2021). So, in the end, social relations can create a solid team to achieve company targets (Colenberg et al., 2021). The results of previous research show that social relations have a significant effect on employee work productivity (Chen and Wei, 2020; Zhang et al., 2021; Ahmad et al., 2021). The results of research conducted by CCCC state that social relations directly affect employee work productivity.

H1: Social relationships have a significant effect on employee work productivity.

#### 2.3. Work supervision

Each employee will be responsible for ensuring the company's smooth operation (Susmadiana et al., 2021). One way to improve work discipline is to improve work supervision (Saputri et al., 2020). In this situation, the roles of employees and managers will differ regarding work objectives (Lee and Kusumah, 2020). The most likely outcome is the development of a negative attitude towards employees towards the task load assigned by their superiors (Susmadiana et al., 2021). Therefore, before starting work, management must build social relationships with employees (He et al., 2021). The ability to build a sound work supervision system is necessary so that the company's reputation will be more trusted by the public. Strengthening work supervision must also be balanced with the ability of supervisors to build harmonious communication or relationships with other employees. Supervisors are required to be able to collaborate with their subordinates to present high-quality and quantity work. Research results show that job development has a significant impact on work productivity (Nazah et al., 2021; Herliana et al., 2023; Isola et al., 2023).

H2: Work supervision has a significant effect on social relations.

H3: Work supervision has a significant effect on employee work productivity.

H4: Work supervision has a significant effect on work productivity through social relations.

#### 2.4. Motivation

Companies need maximum work motivation from all employees to achieve reasonable work results more quickly (Hou et al., 2022). Employees must be motivated to prevent mistakes from occurring (Nazah et al., 2021). To unleash their potential, employees must convey their work enthusiasm to other colleagues (Anisya et al., 2021). Leaders often praise staff to ensure they perform well (Shafi et al., 2020). This form recognizes the importance of employees to management (Shafi et al., 2020). A common mistake is that leaders must appreciate or congratulate employees for their hard work. According to research findings conducted by Maryani et al. (2021) and Ali and Anwar (2021), work motivation has a direct and quite significant influence on employee work productivity.

H5: Motivation has a significant effect on social relations.

H6: Motivation has a significant effect on employee work productivity.

H7: Motivation has a significant effect on work productivity through social relations.

#### 3. Research methods

Researchers chose a quantitative approach to determining the most appropriate model for increasing employee work productivity. Data analysis using the SmartPLS-based structural equation modeling (SEM). Then, the research data is primary data obtained through the distribution of questionnaires. The population in this study are permanent employees at PDAM Tirta Silau Piasa, Asahan Regency, totaling 185 people. This sampling procedure is based on employees with more than ten years of service, of which all 185 have had more than ten years.

#### 3.1. Data analysis

The core data of this research comes from distributing questionnaires that have passed validity and reliability tests. Furthermore, this research used Partial Least Squares (PLS) modeling to test the conceptual model. We use PLS path modeling because it is widely used in management and related fields. This research will predict the dependent variable. Consequently, PLS path modeling is considered an appropriate study technique. Experts view PLS as the most comprehensive and allencompassing variance-based SEM SmartPLS 3 was used to analyze the data further to verify the suggested relationships (Hidayanto et al., 2020).

#### 3.2. Measurement

In this study, work productivity is assessed using the following indicators: (1) Work enthusiasm, (2) Work methods, (3) Work results. Additionally, social relations are measured through these indicators: (1) Conversation, (2) Mutual understanding, (3) Cooperation, (4) Openness, (5) Fourn, (6) Providing support or motivation, (7) Positive feelings, (8) Similarities with other people. Work supervision is evaluated based on these criteria: (1) Effective time management, (2) Completion of duties and responsibilities within deadlines, (3) Independent work, (4) Ability to work under time pressure. Finally, motivation is gauged using these indicators: (1) Effort to work better and avoid repetition, (2) Striving to be the best, and (3) Efforts to improve quality.

#### 4. Research results

#### 4.1. Testing of outer models

Measurement model analysis uses two tests: construct reliability and validity and discriminant validity.

### 4.2. Construct reliability and validity

Composite reliability is a component used to evaluate the dependence of indicators on a variable. A variable with a composite reliability value greater than or equal to 0.6 can be said to meet composite reliability. Table 2 shows the results of construct validity and reliability testing. Table 2 indicates that the composite reliability for all research variables exceeds 0.6, demonstrating that each variable satisfies the criteria for composite reliability and thus possesses a high level of reliability. Additionally, the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for each indicator, which should be greater than 0.5 for a model to be considered viable, serves as a method assessing discriminant validity, alongside examining the cross-loading values. Table 3 presents the results of the AVE.

Based on Table 3, the AVE values of facilities, organizational culture, service quality, social relations, and work productivity are >0.5. So, each variable has solid discriminant validity. Unlike Cronbach Alpha, this metric does not presuppose measurement equivalence or that each indicator is given equal weight. Assuming that the parameter estimates are valid, Composite dependability is a closer approach, but Cronbach Alpha tends to decrease the dependability of the tie estimates.

#### 4.3. Discriminant validity

The cross-loading value is used in the discriminant validity test. If the cross-loading value of an indicator on a particular variable is higher than other variables, then the indicator is said to have discriminant validity. The cross-loading value for each indication is shown in Table 4.

The data in Table 4 shows that, when compared to the cross-loading value on other variables, each indication on the research variable has the most significant cross-loading value on the variable it forms. The indicators utilized in this study already have good discriminant validity when assembling their respective variables.

#### 4.4. Inner model

#### 4.4.1. R square

Based on data processing that has been carried out using the SmartPLS 3.0 program, the R-square value is shown in Table 5. Based on Table 5, it is known that the R Square Adjusted value for the social relations variable is 0.668 or 66.8%. In comparison, the remaining 33.2% is influenced by other variables that are not the variables of this study. Then, on the work productivity variable, the value of R Square Adjusted is 0.755 or 75.5%, while the remaining 24.5% is influenced by other variables that are not this study's variables.

#### 4.4.2. Predictive relevance (Q2)

The Q2 value is analogous to the coefficient of determination (R-square), serving a similar purpose. A substantial Q2 value (greater than 0) indicates that the model has predictive relevance. Conversely, a Q2 value less than 0 suggests that the model has limited predictive relevance. In other words, higher Q2 values imply a better fit of the model to the data. The evaluation of the Q2 value can be conducted as follows:

$$Q_2 = 1 - (1 - R_1^2)(1 - R_2^2) \dots (1 - R_n^2)$$
  
 $Q_2 = 1 - (1 - 0.668)(1 - 0.755)$   
 $Q_2 = 1 - (0.332)(0.245)$   
 $Q_2 = 1 - 0.081$   
 $Q_2 = 0.919$ 

Based on these results, the value of Q2 is 0.919. So, all the variables in this study, work supervision, motivation, social relations, and work productivity, contributed to the original data in the existing structural model of 91.9%. Then, the remaining 8.1% needs to be developed apart from research variables.

Table 2: Composite reliability

| Table 2. Composite renability |                  |       |                       |                                  |  |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|
|                               | Cronbach's alpha | Rho A | Composite reliability | Average variance extracted (AVE) |  |
| Motivation (X2)               | 0.921            | 0.927 | 0.980                 | 0.909                            |  |
| Social relations (Y1)         | 0.940            | 0.941 | 0.953                 | 0.770                            |  |
| Work supervision (X1)         | 0.975            | 0.975 | 0.980                 | 0.909                            |  |
| Work productivity (Y2)        | 0.948            | 0.983 | 0.966                 | 0.798                            |  |

**Table 3:** Average variance extracted (AVE)

|                        | Cronbach's alpha | Rho A | Composite reliability | Average variance extracted (AVE) |
|------------------------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Motivation (X2)        | 0.828            | 0.831 | 0.851                 | 0.867                            |
| Social relations (Y1)  | 0.869            | 0.866 | 0.875                 | 0.868                            |
| Work supervision (X1)  | 0.890            | 0.821 | 0.814                 | 0.705                            |
| Work productivity (Y2) | 0.873            | 0.873 | 0.886                 | 0.824                            |

**Table 4:** Loading factor value of each indicator

| Motivation (X2) |       | Motivation (X2) Social relations (Y1) |       | Work productivity (Y2) |  |
|-----------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------|------------------------|--|
| PK1             | 0.702 | 0.340                                 | 0.732 | 0.420                  |  |
| PK2             | 0.826 | 0.411                                 | 0.798 | 0.497                  |  |
| PK3             | 0.815 | 0.385                                 | 0.777 | 0.474                  |  |
| PK4             | 0.744 | 0.421                                 | 0.752 | 0.524                  |  |
| PK5             | 0.827 | 0.419                                 | 0.822 | 0.511                  |  |
| PK6             | 0.734 | 0.484                                 | 0.728 | 0.495                  |  |
| MT1             | 0.488 | 0.859                                 | 0.587 | 0.914                  |  |
| MT2             | 0.523 | 0.919                                 | 0.675 | 0.996                  |  |
| MT3             | 0.552 | 0.936                                 | 0.652 | 0.810                  |  |
| MT4             | 0.551 | 0.953                                 | 0.687 | 0.830                  |  |
| MT5             | 0.550 | 0.930                                 | 0.664 | 0.985                  |  |
| MT6             | 0.531 | 0.935                                 | 0.655 | 0.825                  |  |
| HS1             | 0.966 | 0.494                                 | 0.867 | 0.490                  |  |
| HS2             | 0.893 | 0.424                                 | 0.757 | 0.493                  |  |
| HS3             | 0.750 | 0.365                                 | 0.753 | 0.461                  |  |
| HS4             | 0.782 | 0.347                                 | 0.734 | 0.489                  |  |
| HS5             | 0.837 | 0.321                                 | 0.743 | 0.486                  |  |
| HS6             | 0.779 | 0.427                                 | 0.865 | 0.503                  |  |
| HS7             | 0.739 | 0.931                                 | 0.715 | 0.825                  |  |
| PD1             | 0.895 | 0.465                                 | 0.772 | 0.537                  |  |
| PD2             | 0.864 | 0.430                                 | 0.654 | 0.515                  |  |
| PD3             | 0.836 | 0.323                                 | 0.514 | 0.522                  |  |
| PD4             | 0.899 | 0.379                                 | 0.616 | 0.543                  |  |
| PD5             | 0.886 | 0.468                                 | 0.616 | 0.475                  |  |
| PD6             | 0.887 | 0.475                                 | 0.706 | 0.552                  |  |
| PD7             | 0.841 | 0.943                                 | 0.655 | 0.824                  |  |
| PD8             | 0.833 | 0.947                                 | 0.674 | 0.819                  |  |

#### 4.5. Hypothesis test

The results of hypothesis testing in this study can be shown in Table 6.

# 4.6. The influence of work supervision on social relations

Data analysis shows that work supervision directly has a significant effect on social relations. The results of this research align with previous research, which emphasizes that work supervision can improve social relations between other employees (Lim et al., 2021; López-Cabarcos et al., 2022). Furthermore, Iosim et al. (2022) emphasized that work supervision can also increase the desire to continue understanding the company's work system. Work supervision with good coordination can also minimize work conflicts (Charoensukmongkol, 2022). The implications of the findings in this research indicate that work supervision at PDAM Tirta Silau Piasa, Asahan Regency, needs to be carried out more professionally. There has been much emphasis on leadership from protecting certain employees who are related to them. Leaders have not been strict with employees who behave badly and lack empathy for employees who are highly disciplined.

# 4.7. The effect of work supervision on work productivity

This research demonstrates that work supervision directly and significantly impacts

employee work productivity. The findings align with Fischer et al. (2021) and Hannang and Qamaruddin (2020), who noted that correctly structured work supervision can significantly enhance work quality. Conversely, Kaur and Randhawa (2021) caution that work supervision based on subjective assessments of employees can lead to internal conflicts, potentially diminishing an employee's workplace comfort. This study's results reveal that at PDAM Tirta Silau Piasa in Asahan Regency, work supervision is deemed effective in enhancing the quality of employees' work. Although not always executed with professional rigor, the general practice of supervision still manages to instill a comprehensive sense of responsibility among employees.

# 4.8. The influence of work supervision on work productivity through social relations

The results of the data analysis show that social relationships have a significant role in mediating work supervision and improving work productivity. The findings of this study corroborate those of Hoque et al. (2020), Nwosu et al. (2021), and Suriagiri et al. (2022), which indicated that social relationships can render work supervision more objective. This, in turn, helps employees feel less fearful and less like they are under constant surveillance. Then Hussain et al. (2020) and Chen et al. (2021) also stated that the leader's ability to manage the supervision system will reduce employee work errors. Furthermore, research results (Wiyono et al., 2022) clearly showed that

work supervision is to avoid finding fault with other employees. However, this work supervision aims to maximize the ability of each employee to accelerate the work plan that has been set (Erdogan et al., 2022). The implications of the findings in this research show that employees need to be more comfortable with the supervision system. However, employees consider that working professionally is the primary goal of working in companies owned by the Indonesian government. Employees assume that

this position will have a time limit. Whoever the leader is chosen will, of course, be able to assess which employees are considered company assets in improving their reputation in the eyes of the public.

**Table 5:** Coefficient of determination (R-square)

|       | R square adjusted |
|-------|-------------------|
| 0.672 | 0.668             |
| 0.735 | 0.755             |
|       |                   |

Table 6: Hypothesis test

|  | Original<br>Sample (O) | Sample<br>means (M) | Standard deviation (STDEV) | T statistics ( O/STDEV ) | P values | Information     |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| Motivation (X2) -> Social relations (Y1)                                 | 0.670                  | 0.674               | 0.088                      | 4.811                    | 0.001    | Significant     |
| Motivation (X2) -> Work productivity (Y2)                                | 0.216                  | 0.223               | 0.054                      | 1.135                    | 0.325    | Not significant |
| Social Relations (Y1) -> Work productivity (Y2)                          | 0.765                  | 0.762               | 0.052                      | 2.884                    | 0.002    | Significant     |
| Work supervision (X1) -> Social relations (Y1)                           | 0.615                  | 0.627               | 0.235                      | 2.810                    | 0.000    | Significant     |
| Work supervision (X1) -> Work productivity (Y2)                          | 0.210                  | 0.218               | 0.151                      | 2.461                    | 0.001    | Significant     |
| Motivation (X2) -> Social relations (Y1) -><br>Work productivity (Y2)    | 0.593                  | 0.594               | 0.094                      | 4.173                    | 0.004    | Significant     |
| Work supervision (X1) -> Social relations (Y1) -> Work productivity (Y2) | 0.546                  | 0.554               | 0.216                      | 2.857                    | 0.000    | Significant     |

# 4.9. The influence of motivation on social relations

The results of the data analysis prove that motivation directly has a significant effect on social relationships. The results of this research are in line with research results (Paais and Pattiruhu, 2020; Chen et al., 2021), which stated that motivation plays a vital role in improving harmonious social relations between other employees. However, research rejects the results of this study, which are different from those of research conducted by Febrianti et al. (2020), which stated that work motivation is not significant in employee work productivity. The implications of the findings in this research show that employees have perfect work motivation, where employees can build effective communication to speed up their work results. Employees continue to work with high innovation to report work problems in the field, especially consumer complaints about the quality and quantity of clean water.

# 4.10. The influence of motivation on work productivity

Based on the test results, supervision is not significant for employee work productivity at PDAM Tirta Silau Piasa, Asahan Regency. This research does not support the results of research conducted by Hemakumara (2020) and Anisya et al. (2021), which stated that motivation significantly affects work productivity. Furthermore, this research supports the results of research (Putra and Mujiati, 2022; Bashir et al., 2020; Engidaw, 2021), which stated that motivation is not always able to increase employee morale. The implications of the findings in this research show that employees have sound awareness in carrying out their duties and responsibilities. Work motivation will be stronger

when the leader gives an unfavorable assessment of the employee's work results. Employees believe that the quality and quantity of work will look good when each existing leader provides an objective assessment, not an assessment only based on subjective ones.

# 4.11. The influence of motivation on work productivity through social relations

The data analysis results show that social relations have a significant role in mediating motivation for social relations. The results of this research are in line with the results of research conducted (Paais and Pattiruhu, 2020; Engidaw, 2021; Pham and Nguyen, 2020), which stated that work motivation can increase work productivity with the help of social relations. The implication of the existing findings is that the management of PDAM Tirta Silau Piasa, Asahan Regency, has provided a reward and punishment system that works well for each employee's work results. Then, the personnel management section was considered successful in managing employees to work with a complete sense of responsibility. This is also proven by the closeness between employees and one another and servant leadership. So that employees feel comfortable at work.

### 5. Conclusion

Social relations are vital in increasing employee work productivity at PDAM Tirta Silau Piasa, Asahan Regency. Both directly and indirectly, social relations are considered a medium for monitoring and motivating work to increase effectiveness. However, the limitation is that this research was only carried out in one company owned by the Indonesian government. It is known that dozens of Indonesian

government-owned companies still need in-depth studies, especially regarding employee work productivity. The researcher's recommendation for future researchers is to examine the work productivity in subsidiaries owned by the Indonesian government. So that in the end, the Indonesian government has a suitable model for formulating the proper policy steps to provide maximum services to the Indonesian people.

### Compliance with ethical standards

### **Conflict of interest**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### References

- Adil MS and Ab Hamid KB (2020). Effect of teamwork on employee performance in high-tech engineering SMEs of Pakistan: A moderating role of supervisor support. South Asian Journal of Management, 14(1): 122-141. https://doi.org/10.21621/sajms.2020141.07
- Ahmad N, Ullah Z, Arshad MZ, Waqas Kamran H, Scholz M, and Han H (2021). Relationship between corporate social responsibility at the micro-level and environmental performance: The mediating role of employee proenvironmental behavior and the moderating role of gender. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 27: 1138-1148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2021.02.034
- Ali BJ and Anwar G (2021). An empirical study of employees' motivation and its influence job satisfaction. International Journal of Engineering, Business and Management, 5(2): 21-30. https://doi.org/10.22161/ijebm.5.2.3
- Andreas D (2022). Employee performance: The effect of motivation and job satisfaction. PRODUKTIF: Jurnal Kepegawaian Dan Organisasi, 1(1): 28-35. https://doi.org/10.37481/jko.v1i1.10
- Anisya V, Supriyanto AS, and Ekowati VM (2021). The effect of motivation on employee performance through organizational culture. Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies, 4(7): 1028-1033.
- Arafat Y and Putra AY (2021). The effect of supervision and work motivation of school principal to the performance of elementary school teachers. Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, 565: 278-282.
- Aziz HM, Jabbar Othman B, Gardi B, Ali Ahmed S, Sabir BY, Burhan Ismael N, and Anwar G (2021). Employee commitment: The relationship between employee commitment and job satisfaction. Journal of Humanities and Education Development, 3(3): 54-66. https://doi.org/10.22161/jhed.3.3.6
- Bani-Melhem S, Quratulain S, and Al-Hawari MA (2021). Does employee resilience exacerbate the effects of abusive supervision? A study of frontline employees' self-esteem, turnover intention, and innovative behaviors. Journal of Hospitality Marketing and Management, 30(5): 611-629. https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2021.1860850
- Bashir A, Amir A, Jawaad M, and Hasan T (2020). Work conditions and job performance: An indirect conditional effect of motivation. Cogent Business and Management, 7(1): 1801961. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2020.1801961
- Bhatti SH, Zakariya R, Vrontis D, Santoro G, and Christofi M (2021). High-performance work systems, innovation and knowledge sharing: An empirical analysis in the context of

- project-based organizations. Employee Relations: The International Journal, 43(2): 438-458. https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-10-2019-0403
- Bibi S, Khan A, Hayat H, Panniello U, Alam M, and Farid T (2022). Do hotel employees really care for corporate social responsibility (CSR): A happiness approach to employee innovativeness. Current Issues in Tourism, 25(4): 541-558. https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2021.1889482
- Børing P and Grøgaard JB (2023). Do older employees have a lower individual productivity potential than younger employees? Journal of Population Ageing, 16(2): 369-397. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12062-020-09323-1
- Carlsen HB, Toubøl J, and Brincker B (2021). On solidarity and volunteering during the COVID-19 crisis in Denmark: The impact of social networks and social media groups on the distribution of support. European Societies, 23(sup1): S122-S140. https://doi.org/10.1080/14616696.2020.1818270
- Chahal I, Hooker DC, Deen B, Janovicek K, and Van Eerd LL (2021). Long-term effects of crop rotation, tillage, and fertilizer nitrogen on soil health indicators and crop productivity in a temperate climate. Soil and Tillage Research, 213: 105121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2021.105121
- Chandra T and Ginting YM (2022). Leadership, discipline, and organizational culture on job satisfaction and teacher performance at state junior high schools in Bandar Petalangan District, Pelalawan Regency. Journal of Applied Business and Technology, 3(3): 272-286. https://doi.org/10.35145/jabt.v3i3.112
- Charoensukmongkol P (2022). Supervisor-subordinate guanxi and emotional exhaustion: The moderating effect of supervisor job autonomy and workload levels in organizations. Asia Pacific Management Review, 27(1): 40-49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmrv.2021.05.001
- Chen X and Wei S (2020). The impact of social media use for communication and social exchange relationship on employee performance. Journal of Knowledge Management, 24(6): 1289-1314. https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-04-2019-0167
- Chen X, Jiao C, Ji R, and Li Y (2021). Examining customer motivation and its impact on customer engagement behavior in social media: The mediating effect of brand experience. SAGE Open, 11(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211052256
- Colenberg S, Jylhä T, and Arkesteijn M (2021). The relationship between interior office space and employee health and wellbeing: A literature review. Building Research and Information, 49(3): 352-366. https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2019.1710098
- Debus ME, Unger D, and König CJ (2020). Job insecurity and performance over time: The critical role of job insecurity duration. Career Development International, 25(3): 325-336. https://doi.org/10.1108/CDI-04-2018-0102
- Demartini MC and Beretta V (2020). Intellectual capital and SMEs' performance: A structured literature review. Journal of Small Business Management, 58(2): 288-332. https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2019.1659680
- Engidaw AE (2021). The effect of motivation on employee engagement in public sectors: In the case of North Wollo zone. Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, 10: 43. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-021-00185-1
- Erdogan DT, Heras ML, Rofcanin Y, Bosch MJ, and Stollberger J (2022). Family motivation of supervisors: Exploring the impact on subordinates' work performance via family supportive supervisor behaviors and work-family balance satisfaction. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 52(12): 1179-1195. https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12919
- Febrianti NT, Suharto SE, and Wachyudi (2020). The effect of career development and motivation on employee performance through job satisfaction in PT Jabar Jaya Perkasa.

- International Journal of Business and Social Science Research, 1(2): 25-35. https://doi.org/10.47742/ijbssr.v1n2p3
- Fischer T, Tian AW, Lee A, and Hughes DJ (2021). Abusive supervision: A systematic review and fundamental rethink. The Leadership Quarterly, 32(6): 101540. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2021.101540
- Hannang A and Qamaruddin MY (2020). The effect of supervision levels on employees' performance levels. Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, 477: 1-5. https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.201017.001
- He Q, Wu M, Wu W, and Fu J (2021). The effect of abusive supervision on employees' work procrastination behavior. Frontiers in Psychology, 12: 596704. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.596704 PMid:33633634 PMCid:PMC7901887
- Hemakumara MGG (2020). The impact of motivation on job performance: A review of literature. Journal of Human Resources Management and Labor Studies, 8(2): 24-29. https://doi.org/10.15640/jhrmls.v8n2a3
- Herliana H, Kristiawan M, and Wardiah D (2023). The effect of principal's academic supervision, teacher's professionalism and work environment on teacher's performance. Journal of Social Work and Science Education, 4(2): 426-438. https://doi.org/10.52690/jswse.v4i2.400
- Hidayanto AN, Anggorojati B, Abidin Z, and Phusavat K (2020). Data modeling positive security behavior implementation among smart device users in Indonesia: A partial least squares structural equation modeling approach (PLS-SEM). Data in Brief. 30: 105588.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.105588 PMid:32382604 PMCid:PMC7200857

- Hirschi A and Spurk D (2021). Ambitious employees: Why and when ambition relates to performance and organizational commitment. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 127: 103576. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2021.103576
- Hoque KE, Bt Kenayathulla HB, D/O Subramaniam MV, and Islam R (2020). Relationships between supervision and teachers' performance and attitude in secondary schools in Malaysia. SAGE OPEN, 10(2).

https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020925501

- Hou A, Sihombing EH, Pebri P, Amelia R, and Fadli A (2022). The effect of work ethic and job responsibility on achievement motivation with the moderating variable of the work environment at Pt Yanmar Jaya Electric. Jurnal Ekonomi, 11(3): 550-555.
- Hussain K, Abbas Z, Gulzar S, Jibril AB, and Hussain A (2020). Examining the impact of abusive supervision on employees' psychological wellbeing and turnover intention: The mediating role of intrinsic motivation. Cogent Business and Management, 7(1): 1818998. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2020.1818998
- Iosim I, Runcan P, Dan V, Nadolu B, Runcan R, and Petrescu M (2022). The role of supervision in preventing burnout among professionals working with people in difficulty. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(1): 160

https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19010160 PMid:35010424 PMCid:PMC8750667

Isola C, Granger S, Turner N, LeBlanc MM, and Barling J (2023).

Intersection of intimate partner violence, partner interference, and family supportive supervision on victims' work withdrawal. Occupational Health Science, 7: 483-508. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41542-023-00150-2

PMid:37359457 PMCid:PMC10126564

Kaur K and Randhawa G (2021). Exploring the influence of supportive supervisors on organisational citizenship behaviours: Linking theory to practice. IIMB Management Review, 33(2): 156-165.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iimb.2021.03.012

- Kristanti D, Satriyono G, Astuti P, Christian M, and Meydiansyah SD (2022). The effect of work rotation and work stress as an individual on work productivity in Krupuk Factory UD Kriuk DVD Kunir Kediri. Jurnal Pendidikan Tambusai, 6(1): 4662-4671.
- Lee CW and Kusumah A (2020). Influence of supervision on employee performance with work motivation as an intervening variable. Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research, 9: 240-252.
- Leitão J, Pereira D, and Gonçalves (2021). Quality of work life and contribution to productivity: Assessing the moderator effects of burnout syndrome. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(5): 2425. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18052425
  PMid:33801326 PMCid:PMC7967557
- Lim PK, Koay KY, and Chong WY (2021). The effects of abusive supervision, emotional exhaustion and organizational commitment on cyberloafing: A moderated-mediation examination. Internet Research, 31(2): 497-518. https://doi.org/10.1108/INTR-03-2020-0165
- López-Cabarcos MÁ, Vázquez-Rodríguez P, and QuinoA-Pineiro LM (2022). An approach to employees' job performance through work environmental variables and leadership behaviours. Journal of Business Research, 140: 361-369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.11.006
- Lubis FAR, Syaifuddin, Sofiyan, Lubis Y, and Nasib (2023). Impact of improving organizational climate, employee empowerment on employee engagement and performance. Journal of System and Management Sciences, 13(2): 273-284. https://doi.org/10.33168/JSMS.2023.0219
- Martin P, Lizarondo L, Kumar S, and Snowdon D (2021). Impact of clinical supervision on healthcare organisational outcomes: A mixed methods systematic review. PLOS ONE, 16(11): e0260156.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260156 PMid:34797897 PMCid:PMC8604366

- Maryani Y, Entang M, and Tukiran M (2021). The relationship between work motivation, work discipline and employee performance at the Regional Secretariat of Bogor City. International Journal of Social and Management Studies, 2(2): 1-16. https://doi.org/10.5555/ijosmas.v2i2.14
- Nazah K, Chaniago S, Ginting BB, Martin M, and Hou A (2021). Optimizing job satisfaction in mediating motivation on lecturer performance. International Journal of Business Economics, 3(1): 20-28. https://doi.org/10.30596/ijbe.v3i1.7896
- Nurmayanti W and Narlan ES (2020). The effect of motivation and work discipline on employee performance. Almana: Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis, 4(3): 429-435. https://doi.org/10.36555/almana.v4i3.1492
- Nwosu IA, Ohuruogu B, Ekpechu JO, Okoronkwo E, Chukwu CO, Obi CF, and Ofoegbu FC (2021). Structured supervision propelling job performance in universities: Achieving goals without chains. SAGE Open, 11(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211050375
- Olayisade A and Awolusi OD (2021). The effect of leadership styles on employee's productivity in the Nigerian oil and gas industry. Information Management and Business Review, 13(1): 47-64. https://doi.org/10.22610/imbr.v13i1(I).3194
- Oseremen E, Ohiokha F, Williams Omokhudu O, Ohiokha G, and Alexander Omowumi D (2022). Empirical analysis of the effect of work stress on employee productivity in the banking industry. Problems and Perspectives in Management, 20(3): 117-129. https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.20(3).2022.10
- Paais M and Pattiruhu JR (2020). Effect of motivation, leadership, and organizational culture on satisfaction and employee performance. The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 7(8): 577-588.

https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no8.577

Paruzel A, Klug HJ, and Maier GW (2021). The relationship between perceived corporate social responsibility and employee-related outcomes: A meta-analysis. Frontiers in Psychology, 12: 607108.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.607108

PMid:34305697 PMCid:PMC8295475

- Pham H and Nguyen H (2020). The effect of motivation and hygiene factors on employees' work motivation in textile and apparel enterprises. Management Science Letters, 10(12): 2837-2844. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2020.4.025
- Putra INSK and Mujiati NW (2022). The effect of compensation, work environment, and work motivation on employee productivity. European Journal of Business and Management Research, 7(2): 212-215. https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2022.7.2.1310
- Ramli A, Prastawa S, Bashori B, and Sudadi S (2023). Analysis of the role of organizational commitment as intervening variable in the relationship between organizational citizenship behavior, organizational climate and teacher performance. Journal on Education, 6(1): 6140-6146.
- Rivaldo Y (2021). Leadership and motivation to performance through job satisfaction of hotel employees at D'Merlion Batam. The Winners, 22(1): 25-30. https://doi.org/10.21512/tw.v22i1.7039
- Riyanto S, Endri E, and Herlisha N (2021). Effect of work motivation and job satisfaction on employee performance: Mediating role of employee engagement. Problems and Perspectives in Management, 19(3): 162-174. https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.19(3).2021.14
- Ronen S and Donia MB (2020). Stifling my fire: The impact of abusive supervision on employees' motivation and ensuing outcomes at work. Revista de Psicología del Trabajo y de las Organizaciones, 36(3): 205-214. https://doi.org/10.5093/jwop2020a20
- Saputri YW, Qomariah N, and Herlambang T (2020). Effect of work compensation, supervision and discipline on work performance. International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research, 9(1): 2597-2601.
- Shafi M, Lei Z, Song X, and Sarker MNI (2020). The effects of transformational leadership on employee creativity: Moderating role of intrinsic motivation. Asia Pacific Management Review, 25(3): 166-176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmrv.2019.12.002
- Song Y and Gao J (2020). Does telework stress employees out? A study on working at home and subjective well-being for wage/salary workers. Journal of Happiness Studies, 21(7): 2649-2668. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-019-00196-6
- Suriagiri S, Akrim A, and Norhapizah N (2022). The influence of school principal supervision, motivation, and work satisfaction on teachers' performance. Cypriot Journal of

- Educational Sciences, 17(7): 2523-2537. https://doi.org/10.18844/cjes.v17i7.7684
- Susmadiana S, Lian B, and Puspita Y (2021). The effect of managerial supervision and work motivation on improving principal's performance. Journal of Social Work and Science Education, 2(2): 181-187. https://doi.org/10.52690/jswse.v2i2.248
- Swanson E, Kim S, Lee SM, Yang JJ, and Lee YK (2020). The effect of leader competencies on knowledge sharing and job performance: Social capital theory. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management, 42: 88-96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhtm.2019.11.004
- Syaifuddin, Efendi B, Novirsari E, Lubis Y, and Nasib (2023). Organizational justice and corporate social responsibility on employee performance: The mediating role of job satisfaction. Journal of Logistics, Informatics and Service Science, 10(3): 151-165. https://doi.org/10.33168/JLISS.2023.0312
- Tajvidi R and Karami A (2021). The effect of social media on firm performance. Computers in Human Behavior, 115, 105174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.09.026
- Tekïn M, Uysal İ, Toraman Ç, Akman C, Aytuğ Koşan AM, and Postaci ES (2023). The effect of social interaction on decision making in emergency ambulance teams: A statistical discourse analysis. BMC Medical Education, 23: 121. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04091-w PMid:36803591 PMCid:PMC9942383
- Van Orden KA, Bower E, Lutz J, Silva C, Gallegos AM, Podgorski CA, and Conwell Y (2021). Strategies to promote social connections among older adults during "social distancing" restrictions. The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 29(8): 816-827. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2020.05.004

PMid:32425473 PMCid:PMC7233208

Wiyono BB, Widayati SP, Imron A, Bustami AL, and Dayati U (2022). Implementation of group and individual supervision techniques, and its effect on the work motivation and performance of teachers at school organization. Frontiers in Psychology, 13: 943838.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.943838 PMid:35941946 PMCid:PMC9356382

- Zhang J, Xie C, and Morrison AM (2021). The effect of corporate social responsibility on hotel employee safety behavior during COVID-19: The moderation of belief restoration and negative emotions. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management, 46: 233-243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhtm.2020.12.011
- Zhaoyang R, Scott SB, Martire LM, and Sliwinski MJ (2021). Daily social interactions related to daily performance on mobile cognitive tests among older adults. PLOS ONE, 16(8): e0256583.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256583 PMid:34437609 PMCid:PMC8389411