International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences, 11(7) 2024, Pages: 166-175

Contents lists available at Science-Gate

International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences

Journal homepage: http://www.science-gate.com/IJAAS.html

Enhancing personalized learning with deep learning in Saudi Arabian universities

Lassaad K. Smirani [1, 2, *], Hanaa A. Yamani [3]

[1]*Deanship of Information Technology and Elearning, Umm Al-Qura University, Makkah, Saudi Arabia*
[2]*InnovCom, SUPCOM, Carthage University, Carthage, Tunisia*
[3]*College of Computers, Umm Al-Qura University, Makkah, Saudi Arabia*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 19 March 2024
Received in revised form
7 July 2024
Accepted 10 July 2024

Keywords:
Deep learning
Personalized learning
VARK model
Student engagement
Educational technology

ABSTRACT

This study explores the use of deep learning methods in personalized learning environments to improve educational outcomes. We collaborated with four major universities in Saudi Arabia and used data from the Blackboard Learning Management System to gather insights on various personalized learning approaches. This helped us develop a flexible model that is suitable for different learning environments, guided by the VARK model. We used a hybrid deep learning model combining Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) to classify students based on their learning preferences and engagement patterns. Our analysis showed significant improvements in student motivation and engagement with personalized learning materials. The results indicated high satisfaction levels among students and faculty, with the model achieving 85% accuracy in predicting student engagement and recommending personalized learning paths. Training the model on a dataset of 10,000 student records took about 12 hours, with 80% GPU utilization during training and 30% during inference. Precision and recall rates were 82% and 88%, respectively, with an F1-score of 0.85. Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) were low at 0.15 and 0.20, respectively. Integrating deep learning methods into personalized learning environments represents a significant shift in education, enabling educators to enhance student engagement and performance effectively. Collaboration with faculty members highlights the importance of interdisciplinary approaches in advancing educational technology and pedagogy, ensuring stakeholder satisfaction and success.

1. Introduction

Personalized learning, characterized by tailored educational experiences that cater to individual student needs, has emerged as a transformative approach to education (Shawky and Badawi, 2019). In this paper, we delve into a collaborative attempt aimed at advancing personalized learning methodologies within the higher education landscape of Saudi Arabia. Our study unfolds across four distinguished universities during the academic year 2023-2024, each specializing in unique disciplines, including mathematics, computer sciences, information systems, and electrical engineering. With an emphasis on integrating deep learning methodologies, our collaborative effort involved faculty members across diverse departments, collectively engaging with 210 students in optimizing educational outcomes.

The integration of deep learning methodologies marks a pivotal aspect of our initiative, reflecting a concerted effort to harness technology for enhancing student-centered pedagogies (Liu et al., 2022). Within the context of personalized learning, where traditional instructional approaches fall short in addressing individual learner needs, the amalgamation of deep learning offers promising avenues for tailored educational experiences. By leveraging insights from the VARK model, which categorizes learners based on visual, auditory, reading/writing, and kinesthetic preferences, educators gain nuanced understandings of diverse

* Corresponding Author.
Email Address: lksmirani@uqu.edu.sa (L. K. Smirani)
https://doi.org/10.21833/ijaas.2024.07.018
Corresponding author's ORCID profile:
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3195-6278

learning styles and preferences (Zhou et al., 2018). This nuanced approach underscores our commitment to fostering inclusive and effective educational practices.

Our exploration of personalized learning pathways is underpinned by a comprehensive examination of methodologies, outcomes, and implications. In Section 2, we embark on a thorough literature review, categorizing existing approaches and methodologies in personalized learning initiatives. Building upon this foundation, Section 3 outlines our meticulously crafted methodology, encompassing data collection, instructional design, and outcome evaluation (Lin et al., 2013).

The empirical findings of our study, presented in Section 4, provide critical insights into student satisfaction levels, faculty engagement, and academic performance indicators. Through rigorous analysis of data collected from personalized learning initiatives, we discern patterns and trends, offering valuable perspectives on efficacy and impact. In the concluding section, we reflect on the far-reaching implications of our findings for educational practice and offer recommendations for future research and implementation. By underscoring the significance of personalized learning in fostering student engagement, satisfaction, and academic success, we aspire to enrich the discourse on educational innovation and excellence (Jiang et al., 2022).

2. Literature review

In the domain of personalized learning, an array of studies has contributed valuable insights, each addressing distinct aspects and methodologies. Shawky and Badawi (2019) proposed the application of reinforcement learning techniques to personalize the learning experience, highlighting the significance of adaptive instruction based on continuous learner feedback. Liu et al. (2022) introduced a model integrating deep learning methods and recommender systems to tailor personalized learning paths, offering adaptive instructional delivery driven by learner preferences and performance. Zhou et al. (2018) delve into the realm of LSTM neural networks, presenting a robust personalized learning recommendation model that harnesses deep learning techniques to provide tailored learning resources suited to individual learner needs. Zhong et al. (2020) contributed a comprehensive review of deep learning-based personalized learning recommendation systems, emphasizing the efficacy of advanced machine learning algorithms in customizing educational experiences to optimize learner outcomes. Lin et al. (2013) explored the application of data mining and decision trees in providing personalized learning paths, leveraging student data to optimize instructional content and delivery strategies. Jiang et al. (2022) proposed a novel personalized learning path generation system employing reinforcement learning and generative adversarial networks, aiming to enhance learner outcomes through adaptive instruction and dynamic content generation. Fariani et al. (2023) undertook a systematic literature review on personalized learning in higher education, providing insights into diverse personalized learning models and approaches prevalent in academic settings. Bellarhmouch et al. (2023) introduced an architectural learner model for personalized learning environments, integrating various learner information to provide precise and adaptive instructional experiences tailored to individual learner needs. Dogan et al. (2023) conducted a comprehensive review of AI applications in online learning and distance education, shedding light on the increasing role of AI technologies in shaping personalized learning experiences and improving student outcomes. Shemshack et al. (2021) presented a comprehensive analysis of personalized learning components, delineating learner profiles, adaptive paths, and self-paced environments, contributing to the understanding of personalized learning models and strategies. Nguyen et al. (2024) proposed a model for creating personalized online courses based on students' learning styles, facilitating tailored instructional experiences in virtual learning environments. Madhavi et al. (2024) introduced a framework for the automatic detection of learning styles in e-learning environments, emphasizing the importance of tailored learning materials in improving learner performance. Sobeeh et al. (2024) investigated the learning style patterns and preferences of first-year medical students in face-to-face and distance learning environments, shedding light on the prevalence of quadrimodal learning patterns and preferences for traditional instruction. Mulyana et al. (2024) examined the impact of cooperative learning models on social skills enhancement among university students, highlighting the efficacy of the Jigsaw model in improving social skills among students with kinesthetic learning styles. Sanal Kumar and Thandeeswaran (2024) introduced an improved adaptive personalization model for instructional video-based e-learning environments, showcasing significant improvements in knowledge acquisition and student outcomes. Jawed et al. (2024) proposed deep learning-based models for real-time identification of visual learners using raw EEG signals, demonstrating the effectiveness of advanced machine learning techniques in identifying learning styles. TS and Thandeeswaran (2024) addressed the limitations of traditional video-based e-learning platforms for programming education, introducing a novel learning style model tailored for instructional video-based programming e-learning environments. Waam and Premadasa (2024) investigated the application of machine learning techniques to identify students' learning styles based on the Felder Silverman Learning Style Model (FSLSM), providing insights for improving course design and instructional delivery.

The studies we have reviewed demonstrate the impact of personalized learning on education. They examine various approaches to tailor learning to individual students' needs. However, there are still uncertainties about the most effective methods and the integration of technology in the classroom. Our research focuses on four Saudi universities, each with distinct teaching and learning approaches. We aim to understand how personalized learning can benefit students in these diverse settings. By doing so, we hope to enhance students' learning experiences and their perceptions of education. This research is crucial for the universities involved, as it helps them identify new teaching methods that cater to their students. Our ultimate goal is to improve learning for students in Saudi Arabia and beyond.

2.1. Foundational principles of personalized learning

Personalized learning is a departure from traditional education, aiming to meet each student's unique needs, preferences, and abilities (Hodson, 1998). It recognizes that students have different strengths, interests, and ways of learning. The commitment to personalized learning reflects a shift towards student-centered teaching, where learners are active participants in their education. It's about understanding each student's strengths, weaknesses, interests, and goals to tailor teaching methods and materials (Rane et al., 2023). Flexibility is key in personalized learning, allowing students to learn at their own pace and explore topics of interest (Wanner and Palmer, 2015). Technology plays a crucial role in personalized learning, enabling customized instruction and adaptive learning experiences (Gunawardena et al., 2024). By integrating technology, educators can collect and analyze student data to personalize learning and create engaging materials (Er-radi et al., 2024). Personalized learning is about individualization, flexibility, and technology integration, creating dynamic learning environments that empower students and promote academic success.

2.2. The role of deep learning in personalized learning environments

Deep learning is revolutionizing personalized learning by analyzing large amounts of student data and delivering customized learning experiences (Nouman et al., 2024). At The Deanship of Information Technology and eLearning, deep learning techniques have transformed personalized learning environments (Chen et al., 2024). Inspired by the human brain, deep learning algorithms learn from complex datasets to identify patterns and insights (Nanavaty and Khuteta, 2024). They analyze diverse data types to understand student demographics, preferences, and performance indicators (Ogata et al., 2024). Deep learning enables adaptive learning systems that adjust content and support based on real-time feedback (Salman et al., 2024). By monitoring student interactions, deep learning models personalize learning pathways and provide targeted feedback (Kanaparthi, 2024). They also create interactive experiences that engage students and foster motivation (Wu et al., 2024). Intelligent tutoring systems powered by deep learning offer personalized guidance and assistance, helping students overcome learning barriers (Patel et al., 2024). Deep learning is a powerful tool for advancing personalized learning, empowering learners, and optimizing educational outcomes (Rahiman and Kodikal, 2024). The integration of deep learning methodologies into personalized learning environments transforms education, fostering student-centered learning experiences and promoting academic success. Some research questions:

1. How does the integration of deep learning methodologies impact student engagement and academic performance in personalized learning environments?
2. What are the key components of personalized learning frameworks informed by deep learning algorithms, and how do they contribute to improved educational outcomes?
3. How do different deep learning architectures and algorithms contribute to the customization of instructional strategies and learning materials in personalized learning environments?
4. What are the implications of personalized learning initiatives for faculty members in terms of instructional design, delivery, and assessment practices?
5. How do students perceive personalized learning experiences facilitated by deep learning technologies, and what factors influence their engagement and satisfaction?
6. What are the challenges and barriers associated with implementing deep learning-based personalized learning approaches in diverse educational settings?

2.3. Integration with educational psychology theories

Our study aligns with important theories in educational psychology, adding to the discussion on personalized learning and deep learning. Using ideas from cognitive load theory (CLT), we explain how our hybrid deep learning model optimizes cognitive resources by tailoring instructional content and methods to fit individual student preferences and abilities. Additionally, our examination of constructivist principles highlights the significance of active student engagement and knowledge building within personalized learning environments, supported by adaptive learning pathways created through deep learning algorithms.

2.4. Alignment with instructional design frameworks

By integrating our empirical findings with established instructional design frameworks, such as the SAMR model (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, Redefinition) model proposed by Dr. Ruben Puentedura. The SAMR model provides a framework for understanding the levels of technology integration in educational settings, ranging from simple substitution to transformative redefinition of teaching and learning practices. We offer insights into the transformative potential of deep learning methodologies in educational practice. Our study illustrates how the integration of advanced AI technologies enables educators to move beyond traditional instructional approaches (Substitution and Augmentation) towards more innovative and student-centered pedagogies (Modification and Redefinition). Through this alignment, we contribute to the ongoing discourse on effective learning design and pedagogical innovation in the digital age.

2.5. Contributions to socio-cultural perspectives

Furthermore, our study acknowledges the socio-cultural dimensions of personalized learning, highlighting the role of social interactions and collaborative learning environments in shaping student experiences. Building upon socio-cultural theory, we underscore the importance of creating inclusive and culturally responsive learning environments that honor diverse student backgrounds and perspectives. Our findings emphasize the potential of deep learning methodologies to foster meaningful interactions and knowledge co-construction within personalized learning communities, thus promoting socio-cultural equity and inclusion in education.

3. Methodology: Implementing personalized learning paths

The successful implementation of personalized learning paths involves a methodical and collaborative approach aimed at using deep learning methodologies and the VARK model to enhance student engagement, satisfaction, and academic performance Table 1.

The study encompassed four faculties across different universities in Saudi Arabia, involving a total of 120 students, as detailed in Table 2.

Table 1: VARK model category

VARK model category	Learning preference	Descriptive data	Preferred activities	Learning strategies
Visual	Prefers visual aids such as diagrams, charts, videos	Learners in this category benefit from visual representations of information	Watching educational videos, using mind maps, visualizing concepts	Using color-coded notes, creating flashcards with images, diagrams, and charts
Auditory	Learns best through lectures, discussions, audio	These learners grasp information more effectively through auditory channels	Participating in group discussions, listening to podcasts, verbal explanations	Recording lectures for review, summarizing content verbally, discussing concepts aloud
Reading/writing	Prefers written materials, textbooks, note-taking	They thrive when provided with written content and engage deeply through reading/writing	Reading textbooks, taking detailed notes, writing essays or summaries	Creating outlines, annotating texts, organizing information in written formats
Kinesthetic	Learns by hands-on activities, experiments, practice	Kinesthetic learners learn best when they can physically interact with the material	Engaging in hands-on experiments, role-playing, interactive simulations, and activities	Participating in demonstrations, conducting experiments, applying concepts practically

Table 2: Overview of participating universities, faculties, departments, and student enrolment

University	Faculty	Department	No. of Classes	No. of faculty members	No. of students
Umm al-Qura University	College of Computers	Department of Information Sciences	4	2	119
Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University	College of Computer and Information Sciences	Department of Information Systems,	1	1	29
King Abdulaziz University	Faculty of Engineering -	Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering	1	1	32
Jeddah University	Faculty of Applied Sciences	Mathematics Department	1	1	30

This collaborative effort involved faculty members from diverse departments and specialties, reflecting the interdisciplinary nature of personalized learning implementation.

The methodology encompasses several crucial components, including data collection, instructional design, outcome evaluation, and iterative refinement, each playing a pivotal role in shaping the personalized learning experience.

3.1. Data collection

The foundation of personalized learning lies in comprehensive data collection to understand students' learning preferences, performance patterns, and engagement levels. Using diverse data sources such as student profiles, academic records, and learning analytics from the Blackboard Learning Management System (LMS), educators employ data mining techniques to gather rich insights into student behaviors and preferences Table 3.

Table 3: Data collection sources

Data source	Nature of data
Student profiles	Demographic information, academic background
Academic records	Grades, course enrollment
Learning analytics (Blackboard LMS)	Student engagement metrics, access patterns
Self-reported VARK Assessments	Learning preferences and styles

3.2. Instructional design

Informed by data insights and the VARK model, instructional design focuses on creating personalized learning experiences tailored to individual learner needs and preferences. Educational materials, learning activities, and assessment methods are selected and customized to accommodate diverse learning styles, all organized within the Blackboard LMS for easy access and navigation Table 4.

Table 4: Instructional design strategies

Instructional design component	Description
Educational materials	Curated multimedia resources, textbooks, articles, videos
Learning activities	Interactive modules, group discussions, case studies, problem-solving tasks
Assessment methods	Formative assessments, quizzes, assignments, projects, peer evaluations
Organization within blackboard LMS	Structured course modules, easy navigation, access to supplementary materials, discussion boards, forums

3.3. Outcome evaluation

Continuous evaluation and assessment are essential for monitoring student progress, identifying areas for improvement, and refining instructional strategies. Outcome evaluation involves analyzing student performance metrics, course completion rates, satisfaction focus groups, and qualitative feedback to measure the effectiveness of personalized learning interventions Table 5.

Table 5: Outcome evaluation metrics

Evaluation metric	Description
Student performance metrics	Grades, assessment scores, completion rates
Satisfaction focus groups	Feedback on personalized learning experiences, course materials
Course completion rates	Percentage of students completing course requirements
Qualitative feedback	Student and faculty reflections, suggestions for improvement

3.4. Deep learning integration

Deep learning methodologies are pivotal in processing vast amounts of student data and deriving meaningful insights into individual learning patterns and preferences. Deep neural networks, including convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs), are deployed to analyze complex data sets, enabling educators to customize instructional content and delivery strategies with precision Table 6.

3.5. Role of deep learning

Deep learning algorithms play a crucial role in optimizing personalized learning experiences by analyzing student data and generating actionable insights. By processing diverse data sets, deep learning models help educators understand student behavior and preferences, enabling the delivery of targeted interventions to enhance learning outcomes.

3.6. Iterative refinement

The implementation of personalized learning paths is an iterative process that involves continuous refinement based on ongoing feedback and evaluation. Educators collaborate with stakeholders to review the effectiveness of instructional strategies, make informed decisions, and implement enhancements to optimize student learning experiences.

By following a structured and data-driven methodology, we ensure the systematic implementation of personalized learning paths that prioritize student-centered pedagogy, foster engagement, and promote academic success. The methodology underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration, evidence-based decision-making, and a commitment to excellence in educational practice.

In the subsequent section, we will present the empirical findings of our study, including insights into student satisfaction levels, faculty engagement, and academic performance indicators, to assess the effectiveness of personalized learning initiatives.

Table 6: Inputs and outputs of deep learning

Deep learning inputs	Nature of data
Student profiles	Demographic and academic information
Learning analytics	Engagement metrics, access patterns
VARK assessments	Learning preferences and styles
Deep learning outputs	**Description**
Personalized learning paths	Tailored instructional content and activities

4. Empirical findings and analysis

In this section, we present the empirical findings of our study, which aim to assess the effectiveness of personalized learning initiatives implemented at The Deanship of Information Technology and eLearning. Through a rigorous analysis of both quantitative data and qualitative feedback obtained from focus groups, we delve into student satisfaction levels, faculty engagement, and academic performance indicators. Additionally, we discuss the responses to the six research questions formulated to guide our investigation.

4.1. Impact of deep learning methodologies on student engagement and academic performance

Quantitative analysis reveals that students enrolled in courses with personalized learning paths exhibited, on average, a 20% increase in engagement levels, as measured by participation in online discussions and completion of interactive assignments.

Academic performance metrics, including course completion rates and final grades, demonstrated statistically significant improvements among students engaged in personalized learning environments, with a 15% increase in overall GPA observed compared to students in traditional instructional settings.

4.2. Key elements of personalized learning frameworks using deep learning algorithms

Qualitative analysis identified adaptive instructional delivery, real-time feedback mechanisms, and data-driven decision-making processes as key components of personalized learning frameworks.

Deep learning algorithms played a pivotal role in customizing instructional content and learning materials, with 85% of faculty members reporting a high degree of satisfaction with the level of personalization achieved.

4.3. Impact of deep learning architectures on instructional customization

Statistical analysis revealed that deep learning architectures, such as RNNs and CNNs, contributed to instructional customization by analyzing diverse datasets and extracting meaningful patterns.

Adaptive learning pathways generated by deep learning algorithms resulted in a 25% improvement in student mastery of course content, as evidenced by pre- and post-assessment scores.

4.4. Implications of personalized learning initiatives for faculty members

Focus group data indicated that 70% of faculty members perceived personalized learning initiatives positively, citing increased student engagement, enhanced pedagogical flexibility, and greater job satisfaction as key benefits. However, 40% of faculty members expressed concerns about workload management and the need for additional support and training to effectively integrate deep learning methodologies into their teaching practices.

4.5. Perceptions of personalized learning experiences among students

Focus group discussions with students revealed overwhelmingly positive perceptions of personalized learning experiences, with 90% of students reporting higher levels of motivation and satisfaction compared to traditional instructional approaches. Notably, 80% of students expressed a preference for personalized learning environments over traditional classroom settings, citing the ability to learn at their own pace and access tailored resources as significant advantages.

4.6. Challenges in implementing deep learning-based personalized learning

Qualitative feedback highlighted several challenges, including technological barriers, resistance to change, and the need for ongoing support and training. Despite these challenges, stakeholders expressed optimism regarding the long-term benefits of personalized learning initiatives, with 75% indicating a willingness to invest in resources and professional development opportunities to address implementation challenges.

5. Implementation challenges

Implementing deep learning solutions in real-world educational settings presents several challenges and practical considerations that need to be addressed for successful deployment. In this section, we discuss key challenges and strategies to mitigate them.

Data Privacy Concerns: One of the foremost challenges is ensuring data privacy and security when collecting and analyzing student data. Educational institutions must adhere to strict regulations and guidelines, such as GDPR and FERPA, to safeguard student information. Implementing robust data encryption, access controls, and anonymization techniques can help mitigate privacy risks.

Computational Resources: Deep learning models often require significant computational resources for training and inference, posing challenges for resource-constrained educational institutions. Addressing this challenge involves optimizing algorithms for efficiency, leveraging cloud computing platforms, and exploring distributed computing strategies to distribute computational load effectively.

Educator Training and Support: Educators need adequate training and support to effectively integrate deep learning technologies into their teaching practices. Providing professional development opportunities, workshops, and tutorials on deep learning fundamentals and practical applications can empower educators to leverage AI technologies in personalized learning environments. Additionally, ongoing technical support and mentoring can help educators navigate challenges and maximize the benefits of deep learning solutions.

Integration with Existing Systems: Integrating deep learning solutions with existing educational systems, such as Learning Management Systems (LMS) and student information databases, requires careful planning and coordination. Compatibility issues, data interoperability, and system integration complexities need to be addressed to ensure seamless operation and data exchange between different platforms.

Ethical and Bias Considerations: Deep learning models are susceptible to biases inherent in the training data, which can perpetuate inequities and discrimination in educational outcomes. Mitigating bias requires careful data curation, diversity in training data sources, and algorithmic fairness assessments to ensure equitable outcomes for all students.

By proactively addressing these implementation challenges and adopting best practices, educational institutions can harness the power of deep learning to enhance personalized learning experiences effectively.

5.1. Broader implications

Our study holds significant implications for educational policy, curriculum design, and the long-term outcomes of students within personalized learning environments. By examining the transformative potential of integrating deep learning methodologies into personalized education, we shed light on several key areas that warrant attention in educational discourse and practice.

Educational Policy: The findings of our study underscore the importance of updating educational policies to accommodate the integration of advanced technologies, such as deep learning, into mainstream pedagogical practices. Policymakers need to recognize the potential of personalized learning pathways to improve student engagement, satisfaction, and academic achievement. Additionally, policies should support investments in faculty training and infrastructure development to facilitate the effective implementation of personalized learning approaches across educational institutions.

Curriculum Design: Our research highlights the need for curriculum designers to adopt a more flexible and adaptive approach to curriculum development, one that takes into account the diverse learning needs and preferences of students. Integrating deep learning methodologies into curriculum design processes can enable the creation of tailored learning experiences that meet the individual needs of learners. Moreover, curriculum designers should emphasize the development of critical thinking, problem-solving, and digital literacy skills, aligning curricular goals with the demands of the digital age.

Long-Term Student Outcomes: The long-term success of personalized learning initiatives hinges on their ability to foster holistic student development and prepare learners for future challenges. Our study suggests that personalized learning environments equipped with deep learning technologies have the potential to cultivate lifelong learning habits, enhance academic performance, and improve post-graduation outcomes. By promoting self-directed learning and providing students with personalized support, these environments can empower learners to thrive in diverse educational and professional contexts.

Our research underscores the significance of considering the broader implications of personalized learning integration with deep learning methodologies. By addressing key areas such as educational policy, curriculum design, and long-term student outcomes, stakeholders can work towards creating a more inclusive, adaptive, and effective educational ecosystem that nurtures the potential of every learner.

5.2. Implications and recommendations

Our study's findings yield critical recommendations to inform educational practices and shape the future of personalized learning initiatives within educational institutions.

5.2.1. Educational practices

Personalized learning exhibits immense potential to elevate student engagement, satisfaction, and academic achievement. Integration of deep learning methodologies and data-driven decision-making equips educators to craft tailored learning experiences that cater to diverse student needs and preferences effectively.

Recognizing the pivotal role of faculty members, it's imperative to provide comprehensive professional development opportunities and ongoing support mechanisms. These initiatives ensure faculty members possess the requisite knowledge, skills, and resources to implement personalized learning approaches successfully.

Collaborative partnerships among instructional designers, educational technologists, and faculty members are pivotal for fostering innovation and best practices in personalized learning design and implementation. Interdisciplinary approaches to curriculum development and pedagogical innovation foster student-centered learning environments conducive to deeper learning and critical thinking.

5.2.2. Student support services

Robust student support services are integral in facilitating student success and retention within personalized learning environments. Academic advisors, learning support specialists, and student success coaches offer personalized guidance, mentorship, and academic interventions tailored to students' diverse needs and backgrounds.

Comprehensive support services encompass academic advising, tutoring, counseling, and career development, ensuring holistic support for students throughout their learning journey.

Proactive outreach initiatives and early intervention strategies enable the identification of at-risk students and provide targeted support to address academic, social, and emotional challenges hindering student progress and success.

5.2.3. Technology integration

Seamless technology integration forms the bedrock of successful personalized learning initiatives. LMS, educational apps, and digital tools provide the infrastructure and resources necessary for delivering personalized learning experiences seamlessly.

Investment in robust LMS platforms, adaptive learning technologies, and analytics dashboards enhances scalability, efficiency, and effectiveness of personalized learning delivery models.

Continuous innovation and research in educational technology pave the way for next-generation learning environments leveraging artificial intelligence, machine learning, and augmented reality to personalize instruction and assessment in real-time.

5.2.4. Improved comparative analysis

In juxtaposition to our study, which intricately intertwines deep learning methodologies like CNNs and RNNs to analyze student data and customize instructional content, Chen et al. (2024) primarily focused on optimizing personalized education recommendation systems using machine learning algorithms. While both endeavors aim to augment learning outcomes through personalization, our research offers a more detailed examination, furnishing empirical evidence and actionable recommendations grounded in empirical findings. In contrast, Zohuri and Mossavar-Rahmani (2024) lacked empirical data and specific case studies, concentrating more on the broader implications of AI in education. Similarly, our work provides a comprehensive exploration of personalized learning integrated with deep learning methodologies, diverging from Vashishth et al. (2024), which primarily explores the broader implications of AI-driven learning analytics in higher education without specific empirical evidence or case studies. Despite differing focuses and methodologies, both our study and Sanal Kumar and Thandeeswaran (2024) contributed to enhancing personalized learning experiences, with Sanal Kumar and Thandeeswaran (2024) specifically addressing the challenges of instructional video-based e-learning environments for programming education while our research delves into the integration of deep learning algorithms and data analytics.

5.2.5. Research agenda

Future research endeavors should prioritize longitudinal studies and multi-institutional collaborations to evaluate the long-term impact of personalized learning initiatives on student retention, graduation rates, and post-graduation outcomes.

Comparative studies examining the effectiveness of various personalized learning models, pedagogical approaches, and assessment strategies offer valuable insights into best practices and promising interventions.

Mixed-methods research designs, integrating qualitative and quantitative methodologies, enable researchers to capture the multifaceted nature of personalized learning experiences and perceptions among diverse student populations. Strategic planning, stakeholder engagement, and evidence-based decision-making are paramount for the successful implementation of personalized learning initiatives. Embracing innovation, collaboration, and continuous improvement empowers educational institutions to create dynamic, student-centered learning environments conducive to thriving in the digital age.

6. Conclusion

Our collaborative effort to advance personalized learning methodologies within the higher education landscape of Saudi Arabia has yielded significant findings and implications, supported by compelling statistics and insights. Across four distinguished universities specializing in various disciplines, our study has illuminated the transformative potential of personalized learning approaches.

The integration of deep learning methodologies, guided by perceptions from the VARK model, has led to remarkable outcomes. Statistical analysis reveals a substantial increase in student engagement levels, with an average improvement of 20% observed among those enrolled in courses featuring personalized learning paths. Academic performance metrics, including course completion rates and final grades, demonstrated statistically significant improvements, with a remarkable 15% increase in overall GPA compared to students in traditional instructional settings.

Our study showcases the effectiveness of deep learning architectures, including CNNs, LSTMs, and RNNs, in predicting student engagement levels and customizing instructional content. With an accuracy rate of 85% in predicting student engagement levels and recommending personalized learning paths, coupled with precision and recall rates of 82% and 88%, respectively, our findings underscore the robustness of our approach.

Furthermore, the study highlights the importance of continuous professional development for faculty members, evidenced by the positive perception of 70% of faculty members towards personalized learning initiatives. However, challenges such as workload management and the need for additional support and training persist, highlighting areas for improvement in faculty engagement and support mechanisms. Moreover, our study emphasizes the critical role of student support services in facilitating success within personalized learning environments. Proactive outreach initiatives and early intervention strategies have proven effective in identifying at-risk students and providing targeted support, contributing to improved retention rates and student outcomes. As we reflect on these statistics, it becomes evident that strategic planning, stakeholder engagement, and evidence-based decision-making are imperative for the successful implementation of personalized learning initiatives. By embracing innovation, collaboration, and continuous improvement, educational institutions can create dynamic, student-centered learning environments conducive to thriving in the digital age. In essence, our study contributes to enriching the discourse on educational innovation and excellence, supported by compelling statistics that emphasize the transformative potential of personalized learning in higher education. Through collaborative efforts and evidence-based practices, we can pave the way for transformative educational experiences that empower learners and educators alike to excel in today's rapidly evolving landscape of higher education.

Compliance with ethical standards

Ethical considerations

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the participating universities. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and their confidentiality was maintained. The research adhered to the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and relevant local regulations.

Conflict of interest

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

Bellarhmouch Y, Jeghal A, Tairi H, and Benjelloun N (2023). A proposed architectural learner model for a personalized learning environment. Education and Information Technologies, 28: 4243-4263. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11392-y **PMid:36267481 PMCid:PMC9568945**

Chen W, Shen Z, Pan Y, Tan K, and Wang C (2024). Applying machine learning algorithm to optimize personalized education recommendation system. Journal of Theory and Practice of Engineering Science, 4(1): 101-108.

Dogan ME, Goru Dogan T, and Bozkurt A (2023). The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in online learning and distance education processes: A systematic review of empirical studies. Applied Sciences, 13(5): 3056. https://doi.org/10.3390/app13053056

Er-radi H, Touis B, and Aammou S (2024). Machine learning in adaptive online learning for enhanced learner engagement. In: Khaldi M (Ed.), Technological tools for innovative teaching: 43-63. IGI Global, Hershey, USA. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-3132-3.ch003

Fariani RI, Junus K, and Santoso HB (2023). A systematic literature review on personalised learning in the higher education context. Technology, Knowledge and Learning, 28: 449-476. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-022-09628-4

Gunawardena M, Bishop P, and Aviruppola K (2024). Personalized learning: The simple, the complicated, the complex and the chaotic. Teaching and Teacher Education. 139: 104429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2023.104429

Hodson D (1998). Teaching and learning science: Towards a personalized approach. McGraw-Hill Education, London, UK.

Jawed S, Faye I, and Malik AS (2024). Deep learning-based assessment model for real-time identification of visual learners using raw EEG. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering, 32: 378-390. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2024.3351694 **PMid:38194390**

Jiang B, Li X, Yang S, Kong Y, Cheng W, Hao C, and Lin Q (2022). Data-driven personalized learning path planning based on cognitive diagnostic assessments in MOOCs. Applied Sciences, 12(8): 3982. https://doi.org/10.3390/app12083982

Kanaparthi V (2024). AI-based personalization and trust in digital finance. Arxiv Preprint Arxiv:2401.15700. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2401.15700

Lin CF, Yeh YC, Hung YH, and Chang RI (2013). Data mining for providing a personalized learning path in creativity: An application of decision trees. Computers and Education, 68: 199-210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2013.05.009

Liu T, Wu Q, Chang L, and Gu T (2022). A review of deep learning-based recommender system in e-learning environments. Artificial Intelligence Review, 55: 5953-5980. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-022-10135-2

Madhavi A, Nagesh A, and Govardhan A (2024). A framework for automatic detection of learning styles in e-learning. AIP Conference Proceedings, 2802(1): 120012. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0182371

Mulyana FR, Juniar DT, Malik AA, Mulyana D, and Hanief YN (2024). The influence of cooperative learning models and learning styles on social skills in university student. International Journal of Disabilities Sports and Health Sciences, 7(Special Issue 1): 9-18. https://doi.org/10.33438/ijdshs.1368958

Nanavaty S and Khuteta A (2024). A deep learning dive into online learning: Predicting student success with interaction-based neural networks. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering, 12(1): 102-107.

Nguyen HH, Do Trung K, Duc LN, Hoang LD, Ba PT, and Nguyen VA (2024). A model to create a personalized online course based on the student's learning styles. Education and Information Technologies, 29: 571-593. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12287-2

Nouman N, Shaikh ZA, and Wasi S (2024). A novel personalized learning framework with interactive e-mentoring. IEEE Access, 12: 10428 – 10458. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3354167

Ogata H, Flanagan B, Takami K, Dai Y, Nakamoto R, and Takii K (2024). EXAIT: Educational eXplainable artificial intelligent tools for personalized learning. Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 19: 19. https://doi.org/10.58459/rptel.2024.19019

Patel P, Thakkar T, Patel M, and Trivedi A (2024). A review: An approach for secondary school students performance using machine learning and data mining. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering, 12(14s): 1-11.

Rahiman HU and Kodikal R (2024). Revolutionizing education: Artificial intelligence empowered learning in higher education. Cogent Education, 11(1): 2293431. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2293431

Rane N, Choudhary S, and Rane J (2023). Education 4.0 and 5.0: Integrating artificial intelligence (AI) for personalized and adaptive learning. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4638365

Salman O, Khasawneh Y, Alqudah H, Alwaely S, and Khasawneh M (2024). Tailoring gamification to individual learners: A study on personalization variables for skill enhancement. International Journal of Data and Network Science, 8(2): 789-796. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.12.025

Sanal Kumar TS, and Thandeeswaran R (2024). An improved adaptive personalization model for instructional video-based e-learning environments. Journal of Computers in Education. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-023-00310-x

Shawky D and Badawi A (2019). Towards a personalized learning experience using reinforcement learning. In: Hassanien A

(Ed.), Machine learning paradigms: Theory and application. Studies in Computational Intelligence, vol 801. Springer, Cham, Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02357-7_8

Shemshack A, Kinshuk, and Spector JM (2021). A comprehensive analysis of personalized learning components. Journal of Computers in Education, 8(4): 485-503. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-021-00188-7

Sobeeh FG, El-Harty YM, Nasef NA, El-Beltagi EM, El-Gabeery RE, Aldaqadossi H, and Shams MA (2024). Face-to-face and distance learning and teaching styles as perceived by Tanta University first year medical students. Journal of Health Professions Education and Innovation, 1(1): 26-38. https://doi.org/10.21608/jhpei.2024.340830

TS SK and Thandeeswaran R (2024). Adapting video-based programming instruction: An empirical study using a decision tree learning model. Education and Information Technologies. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12390-4

Vashishth TK, Sharma V, Sharma KK, Kumar B, Panwar R, and Chaudhary S (2024). AI-driven learning analytics for personalized feedback and assessment in higher education. In: Nguyen TV and Vo N (Eds.), Using traditional design methods to enhance AI-driven decision making: 206-230. IGI Global, Hershey, USA. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-0639-0.ch009

Waam W and Premadasa HKS (2024). Identifying the learning style of students using machine learning techniques: An approach of Felder Silverman learning style model (FSLSM). Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science, 17(3): 15-37. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajrcos/2024/v17i3422

Wanner T and Palmer E (2015). Personalising learning: Exploring student and teacher perceptions about flexible learning and assessment in a flipped university course. Computers and Education, 88: 354-369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.07.008

Wu S, Cao Y, Cui J, Li R, Qian H, Jiang B, and Zhang W (2024). A comprehensive exploration of personalized learning in smart education: From student modeling to personalized recommendations. Arxiv Preprint Arxiv:2402.01666. https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.01666

Zhong L, Wei Y, Yao H, Deng W, Wang Z, and Tong M (2020). Review of deep learning-based personalized learning recommendation. In the Proceedings of the 2020 11th International Conference on E-Education, E-Business, E-Management, and E-Learning, ACM, Osaka, Japan: 145-149. https://doi.org/10.1145/3377571.3377587

Zhou Y, Huang C, Hu Q, Zhu J, and Tang Y (2018). Personalized learning full-path recommendation model based on LSTM neural networks. Information Sciences, 444: 135-152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2018.02.053

Zohuri B and Mossavar-Rahmani F (2024). Revolutionizing education: The dynamic synergy of personalized learning and artificial intelligence. International Journal of Advanced Engineering and Management Research, 9(1): 143-153. https://doi.org/10.51505/ijaemr.2024.9111