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1. Introduction

Consider \( w, \chi, \text{ and } \Lambda \) denote the classes of all, gai and analytic scalar valued single sequences, respectively. We write \( w^2 \) for the set of all complex sequences \( (x_{mn}) \), where \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), the set of positive integers. Then, \( w^2 \) is a linear space under the coordinate wise addition and scalar multiplication. Throughout this article the space of regularly gai multiple sequence defined over a semi-normed space \( X = \mathbb{C} \), the field of complex numbers, these spaces represent the corresponding scalar sequence spaces. Some initial works on double sequence spaces is found in 2005. Later on, they were investigated by Hardy (1904), Moricz (1991), Moricz and Rhoades (1988), Basarir and Solancan (1999), Tripathy (2003), Turkmenoglu (1999), and many others. We procure the following sets of double sequences:

\[
\mathcal{M}_u(t):=\left\{ (x_{mn}) \in w^2: \sup_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_{mn}|^{t_{mn}} < \infty \right\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_p(t):=\left\{ (x_{mn}) \in w^2: p - \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} |x_{mn} - t|^{t_{mn}} = 1 \text{ for some } t \in \mathbb{C} \right\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_{op}(t):=\left\{ (x_{mn}) \in w^2: p - \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} |x_{mn}|^{t_{mn}} = 1 \right\}.
\]
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A B S T R A C T

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of \( \int \Gamma^{2M} \) statistical convergence and strongly \( \int \Gamma^{2M} \) of real numbers. It is also shown that \( \Gamma^{2M} \) statistical convergence and strongly \( \Gamma^{2M} \) are equivalent for analytic sequences of real numbers. We introduce certain new double sequence spaces of \( \int \Gamma^{2M} \) of fuzzy real numbers defined by \( l \rightarrow \) convergence using sequences of Musielak-Orlicz functions and also study some basic topological and algebraic properties of these spaces, investigate the inclusion relations between these spaces.

\[
\mathcal{L}_u(t):=\left\{ (x_{mn}) \in w^2: \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_{mn}|^{t_{mn}} < \infty \right\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{C}_{bp}(t):=\mathcal{C}_p(t) \bigcap \mathcal{M}_u(t) \bigcap \mathcal{C}_{op}(t) = \mathcal{C}_p(t) \bigcap \mathcal{M}_u(t),
\]

where \( t = (t_{mn}) \) is the sequence of strictly positive reals \( t_{mn} \) for all \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( p - \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \) denotes the limit in the Pringsheim (1900) sense. In the case \( t_{mn} = 1 \) for all \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \); \( \mathcal{M}_u(t), \mathcal{C}_p(t), \mathcal{C}_{op}(t), \mathcal{L}_u(t), \mathcal{C}_{bp}(t) \) and \( \mathcal{C}_{op}(t) \) reduce to the sets \( \mathcal{M}_u, \mathcal{C}_p, \mathcal{C}_{bp}, \mathcal{L}_u, \mathcal{C}_{bp} \) and \( \mathcal{C}_{op} \), respectively. Now, we may summarize the knowledge given in some document related to the double sequence spaces. Gökhlan and Çolak (2004, 2005) have proved that \( \mathcal{M}_u(t) \) and \( \mathcal{C}_p(t) \), \( \mathcal{C}_{bp}(t) \) are complete paranormed spaces of double sequences and gave the \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) - duals of the spaces \( \mathcal{M}_u(t) \) and \( \mathcal{C}_{bp}(t) \). Zeltser (2001) has essentially studied both the theory of topological double sequence spaces and the theory of summability of double sequences. Mursaleen and Edely (2003) and Tripathy (2003) have independently introduced the statistical convergence and Cauchy for double sequences and given the relation between statistical convergent and strongly Cesàro summable double sequences. Altay and Başar (2005) have defined the spaces \( \mathcal{B}_S, \mathcal{B}_S(t), \mathcal{C}_S, \mathcal{C}_{Sbp}, \mathcal{C}_{Sr}, \mathcal{B}V \) of double sequences consisting of all double series whose sequence of partial sums are in the spaces \( \mathcal{M}_u, \mathcal{M}_u(t), \mathcal{C}_p, \mathcal{C}_{bp}, \mathcal{C}_r \) and \( \mathcal{L}_u \), respectively, and also examined some properties of those sequence spaces and determined the \( \alpha \rightarrow \) duals of the spaces \( \mathcal{B}_S, \mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{C}_S \) and the
\( \beta(0) - \text{duals of the spaces } CS_{\text{up}} \text{ and } CS_{\text{r}} \text{ of double series. Basar and Sever (2009) have introduced the Banach space } L_q \text{ of double sequences corresponding to the well-known space } \ell_q \text{ of single sequences and examined some properties of the space } L_q \). Subramanian and Misra (2011) have studied the space \( \chi_k^2(p,q,u) \) of double sequences and gave some inclusion relations.

The class of sequences which are strongly Cesàro summable with respect to a modulus was introduced by Maddox (1986) as an extension of the definition of strongly Cesàro summable sequences. Connor (1988) further extended this definition to a definition of strong \( A - \) summability with respect to a modulus where \( A = (a_{n,k}) \) is a nonnegative regular matrix and established some connections between strong \( A - \) summability, strong \( A - \) summability with respect to a modulus, and \( A - \) statistical convergence. In the notion of convergence of double sequences was presented by Pringsheim (1900). Also, the four dimensional matrix transformation (Ax)_{h,t} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}k_{mn} was studied extensively by Hamilton (1936).

We need the following inequality in the sequel of the paper. For \( a, b \geq 0 \) and \( 0 < p < 1 \), we have

\[
(a + b)^p \leq a^p + b^p. 
\]

The double series \( \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} x_{mn} \) is called convergent if and only if the double sequence \( (s_{mn}) \) is convergent, where \( s_{mn} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{ij}(m,n \in \mathbb{N}) \).

A sequence \( x = (x_{mn}) \) is said to be double analytic if \( \sup_m x_{mn}^{1/m+n} < \infty \). The vector space of all double analytic sequences will be denoted by \( A^2 \). A sequence \( x = (x_{mn}) \) is called double gai sequence if \( \left( (m + n)! \right)^{1/m+n} \to 0 \) as \( m, n \to \infty \). The double gai sequences will be denoted by \( \chi^2 \). Let \( \Phi \) = \{finite sequences\}.

Consider a double sequence \( x = (x_{ij}) \). The \((m,n)\)th section \( x^{(m,n)} \) of the sequence is defined by \( x^{(m,n)} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} x_{ij} \) for all \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \); where \( S_{ij} \) denotes the double sequence whose only non zero term is \( a \) in the \((i,j)\)th place for each \( i, j \in \mathbb{N} \).

An FK-space (or a metric space) \( X \) is said to have AK property if \( (S_{mn}) \) is a Schauder basis for \( X \). Or equivalently \( x_{[m,n]} \to x \).

An FDK-space is a double sequence space endowed with a complete metrizable; locally convex topology under which the coordinate mappings \( x = (x_k) \to (x_{mn})(m,n \in \mathbb{N}) \) are continuous.

Let \( M \) and \( \Phi \) are mutually complementary modulus functions. Then, we have:

(i) For all \( u, y \geq 0 \), \( uy \leq M(u) + \Phi(y) \), (Young’s inequality)

(ii) For all \( u \geq 0 \), \( u\eta(u) = M(u) + \Phi(\eta(u)) \).

(iii) For all \( u \geq 0 \), and \( 0 < \lambda < 1 \),

\[
M(\lambda u) \leq \lambda M(u) 
\]

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri (1971) used the idea of Orlicz function to construct Orlicz sequence space,

\[
\ell_M = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left( \frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}, 
\]

the space \( \ell_M \) with the norm,

\[
||x|| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left( \frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \leq 1 \right\}, 
\]

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space. For \( M(t) = t^p(1 \leq p < \infty) \), the spaces \( \ell_M \) coincide with the classical sequence space \( \ell_p \).

A sequence \( f = (f_{mn}) \) of modulus function is called a Musielak-modulus function. A sequence \( g = (g_{mn}) \) defined by:

\[
g_{mn}(u) = \sup\{|v|u - f_{mn}(u): u \geq 0\}, m,n = 1,2, ... 
\]

is called the complementary function of a Musielak-modulus function \( f \). For a given Musielak modulus function \( f \), the Musielak-modulus sequence space \( t_f \) is defined as follows

\[
t_f = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}: M_f (|x_{mn}|^{1/m+n}) \to 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty \right\},
\]

where, \( M_f \) is a convex modular defined by:

\[
M_f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{mn}(|x_{mn}|^{1/m+n})x = (x_{mn}) \in t_f.
\]

We consider \( t_f \) equipped with the Luxemburg metric:

\[
d(x,y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{mn} \left( \frac{|x_{mn}|^{1/m+n}}{m,n} \right).
\]

If \( X \) is a sequence space, we give the following definitions:

(i) \( X' \) is the continuous dual of \( X \);

(ii) \( X_u = \{ a = (a_{mn}): \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} |a_{mn}|x_{mn} < \infty \} \text{ for each } x \in X \};

(iii) \( X^d = \{ a = (a_{mn}): \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}x_{mn} \text{ is convergent, for each } x \in X \};

(iv) \( XY = \{ a = (a_{mn}) : \sup_{mn} |a_{mn}|x_{mn}x_{mn} < \infty \} \text{ for each } x \in X \};

(v) Let \( X \) be an FK-space \( \Phi \); then \( X_f = \{ f(3_{mn}) : f \in X' \};

(vi) \( X^s = \{ a = (a_{mn}) : \sup_{mn} a_{mn}x_{mn}x_{mn} < \infty \} \text{ for each } x \in X \};

\( X^u, X^d, XY \) are called \( \alpha - \) (or Köthe-Toeplitz) dual of \( X \), \( \beta - \) (organalyzed-Köthe-
Toeplitz) dual of $X, Y$ dual of $X, \delta$ dual of $X$ respectively. $X^\pi$ is defined by Gupta and Kamthan. It is clear that $X^\pi \subset X^p$ and $X^\alpha \subset X^\gamma$, but $X^\beta \subset X^\gamma$ does not hold, since the sequence of partial sums of a double convergent series need not to be bounded.

The notion of difference sequence spaces (for single sequences) was introduced by Kizmaz (1981) as follows:

$$Z(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in w: (\Delta x_k) \in Z\}$$

for $Z = c_0, c$ and $\ell_\infty$, where $\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Here, $c_0, c$ and $\ell_\infty$ denote the classes of convergent, null and bounded scalar valued single sequences respectively. The difference sequence space $bv_p$ of the classical space $\ell_p$ is introduced and studied in the case $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ by Altay and Başar (2005) and in the case $0 < p < 1$ by Altay and Başar (2005). The spaces $(\Delta), c_0(\Delta), \ell_\infty(\Delta)$ and $bv_p$ are Banach spaces normed by:

$$\|x\| = |x_1| + \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\Delta x_k|$$

and

$$\|x\|_{bv_p} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, (1 \leq p < \infty).$$

Later on the notion was further investigated by many others. We now introduce the following difference double sequence spaces defined by:

$$Z(\Delta) = \{x = (x_{mn}) \in w^2: (\Delta x_{mn}) \in Z\}$$

where $Z = \mathcal{L}^2, \chi^2$ and $\Delta x_{mn} = (x_{mn} - x_{m+n+1}) - (x_{m+n+1} - x_{m+1}) = x_{mn} - x_{m+n+1} - x_{m+n} + x_{m+1} + 1$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. Some new integrated statistical convergence sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers

The main aim of this article is to introduce the following sequence spaces and examine topological and algebraic properties of the resulting sequence spaces. Let $p = (p_{mn})$ be a sequence of positive real numbers for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f = (f_{mn})$ be a Musielak-Modulus function, $(X, \|(d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0), ..., d(x_{n-1}, 0))\|_p)$ be a $p$-metric space, and $(\mathcal{L}^{2\pi})$ be a sequence of non-zero scalars and $\mu_{mn}(X) = \bar{d}(f_{mn}, 0)$ be a sequence of fuzzy numbers, we define the following sequence spaces as follows:

$$\lim_{r,s \to \infty} \left\{m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}: \min \left\{f_{mn} \left(\mu_{mn}(x), \left(\begin{array}{c} d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0), ..., d(x_{n-1}, 0) \end{array}\right) \right) \right\} \geq \varepsilon \right\} = 0.$$
\[ \rho(x_{mn} - x) \to 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty, \text{ then } \rho(\sigma_{mn} x_{mn} - \sigma x) \to 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty. \]

A paranorm \( w \) for which \( \rho(x) = 0 \) implies \( x = 0 \) is called total paranorm and the pair \((X, w)\) is called a total paranormed space. It is well known that the metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm (Wilansky, 1984).

The notion of deal convergence was introduced first by Kostyrko et al. (2000) as a generalization of statistical convergence which was further studied in topological spaces by Kumar (2007) and Kumar and Kumar (2008), and also more applications of ideals can be deals with various authors by Hazarika (2009, 2012a,b,c, 2013a,b, 2014a,b,c,d), Hazarika and Savas (2011), Hazarika et al. (2014), Hazarika and Kumar (2014), Tripathy and Hazarika (2008, 2009, 2011).

**Definition 2.2:** A family \( I \subset 2^Y \) of subsets of a non empty set \( Y \) is said to be an ideal in \( Y \) if

1. \( \phi \in I \)
2. \( A, B \in I \) imply \( A \cup B \in I \)
3. \( A \in I, B \subset A \) imply \( B \in I \).

While an admissible ideal \( I \) of \( Y \) further satisfies \( \{x\} \in I \) for each \( x \in Y \). Given \( I \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \) be a non trivial ideal in \( \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \). A sequence \( (x_{mn})_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} \) in \( X \) is said to be \( I \) - convergent to \( 0 \in X \), if for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) the set \( A(\varepsilon) = \{m, n \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \|d_1(x_1,0), \ldots, d_n(x_n,0)\| < \varepsilon \} \) belongs to \( I \).

**Definition 2.3:** A non-empty family of sets \( F \subset 2^X \) is a filter on \( X \) if and only if

1. \( \phi \in F \)
2. For each \( A, B \in F, \) we have imply \( A \cap B \in F \)
3. Each \( A \in F \) and each \( A \subseteq B, \) we have \( B \in F \).

**Definition 2.4:** An ideal \( I \) is called non-trivial ideal if \( I \neq \phi \) and \( X \notin I \). Clearly \( I \) \( \subset 2^X \) is a non-trivial ideal if and only if \( F = F(I) = \{X - A : A \in I\} \) is a filter on \( X \).

**Definition 2.5:** A non-trivial ideal \( I \subset 2^X \) is called (i) admissible if and only if \( \{\{x\} : x \in X\} \subset I \). (ii) maximal if there cannot exists any non-trivial ideal \( J \neq I \) containing \( I \) as a subset.

If we take \( I = I_{\varepsilon} = \{A \subseteq X : A \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}; A \text{ is a finite subset}\} \). Then \( I_{\varepsilon} \) is a non-trivial admissible ideal of \( X \) and the corresponding convergence coincides with the usual convergence. If we take \( I = I_{\varepsilon} = \{A \subseteq X : A \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}; \delta(A) = 0\} \) where \( \delta(A) \) denote the asymptotic density of the set \( A \). Then \( I_{\varepsilon} \) is a non-trivial admissible ideal of \( X \) and the corresponding convergence coincides with the statistical convergence.

Let \( D \) denote the set of all closed and bounded intervals \( X = [x_1, x_2] \) on the real line \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \). For \( X, Y \subset D \), we define \( X \leq Y \) if and only if \( x_1 \leq y_1 \) and \( x_2 \leq y_2 \), \( d(X, Y) = \max(|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|) \), where \( X = [x_1, x_2] \) and \( Y = [y_1, y_2] \).

Then it can be easily seen that \( d \) defines a metric on \( D \) and \( (D, d) \) is a complete metric space. Also the relation \( \leq \) is a partial order on \( D \). A fuzzy number \( X \) is a fuzzy subset of the real line \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \) i.e. a mapping \( X: R \to J = [0,1] \) associating each real number \( t \) with its grade of membership \( X(t) \).

**Definition 2.6:** A fuzzy number \( X \) is said to be (i) convex if \( X(t) \geq X(s) \wedge X(r) = \min\{X(s), X(r)\} \), where \( s < t < r \). (ii) normal if there exists \( t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \) such that \( X(t_0) = 1 \). (iii) upper semi-continuous if for each \( \varepsilon > 0, X^{-1}([0, a + \varepsilon]) \) for all \( a \in [0,1] \) is open in the usual topology of \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \).

Let \( R(J) \) denote the set of all fuzzy numbers which are upper semicontinuous and have compact support, i.e. if \( X \in R(J) \times R(J) \) the for any \( \alpha \in [0,1], [X]^\alpha \) is compact, where \( [X]^\alpha = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} : X(t) \geq \alpha, \text{ if } x \in [0,1]\} \), \([X]^0 = \text{closure of } \{(t \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}: X(t) > \alpha, \text{ if } x \in [0,1]\} \).

The set \( \mathbb{R} \) of real numbers can be embedded \( R(J) \) if we define \( r \in R(J) \times R(J) \) by

\[ r(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t = r; \\ 0, & \text{if } t \neq r \end{cases} \]

the absolute value, \( |X| \) of \( X \in R(J) \) is defined by

\[ |X|(t) = \max(X(t), X(-t)). \text{ if } t \geq 0; \]
\[ 0, \text{ if } t < 0 \]

define a mapping \( \overline{d}: R(J) \times R(J) \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\} \) by

\[ \overline{d}(X, Y) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} d([X]^\alpha, [Y]^\alpha). \]

It is known that \( (R(J), \overline{d}) \) is a complete metric space.

**Definition 2.7:** A metric on \( R(J) \) is said to be translation invariant if \( \overline{d}(X + Z, Y + Z) = \overline{d}(X, Y) \), for \( X, Y, Z \in R(J) \).

**Definition 2.8:** A sequence \( X = (x_{mn}) \) of fuzzy numbers is said to be (i) convergent to a fuzzy number \( X_0 \) if for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists a positive integer \( n_0 \) such that \( \overline{d}(x_{mn}, X_0) < \varepsilon \) for all \( m \geq n_0 \). (ii) bounded if the set \( \{x_{mn} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \) of fuzzy numbers is bounded.

**Definition 2.9:** A sequence \( X = (x_{mn}) \) of fuzzy numbers is said to be (i) \( 1 \)-convergent to a fuzzy number \( X_0 \) if for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that,

\[ A = \{m, n \in \mathbb{N} : \overline{d}(x_{mn}, X_0) \geq \varepsilon \} \in I. \]

The fuzzy number \( X_0 \) is called \( 1 \)-limit of the sequence \( (x_{mn}) \) of fuzzy numbers and we write \( X_0 = \lim_{m,n} X_{mn} = X_0 \). (ii) \( 1 \)-bounded if there exists \( M > 0 \) such that,

\[ \{m, n \in \mathbb{N} : \overline{d}(x_{mn}, X_0) > M \} \in I. \]

**Definition 2.10:** A sequence space \( E_F \) of fuzzy numbers is said to be (i) solid (or normal) if \( (y_{mn}) \in E_F \) whenever \( (x_{mn}) \in E_F \) and \( \overline{d}(y_{mn}, 0) \leq \overline{d}(x_{mn}, 0) \).
Definition 2.11: A sequence space $E_p$ is said to be monotone if $E_p$ contains the canonical pre-images of all its step spaces.

The following well-known inequality will be used throughout the article. Let $p = (p_{mn})$ be any sequence of positive real numbers with $0 \leq p_{mn} \leq \sup p_{mn} = G$, $D = \max (1, 2G - 1)$ then

$$|a_{mn} + b_{mn}|^{p_{mn}} \leq D (|a_{mn}|^{p_{mn}} + |b_{mn}|^{p_{mn}})$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{mn}, b_{mn} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Also $|a_{mn}|^{p_{mn}} \leq \max (1, |a|^{G})$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

First we procure some known results; those will help in establishing the results of this article.

Lemma 2.12: A sequence space $E_p$ is normal implies $E_p$ is monotone. (For the crisp set case, one may refer to Kamthan and Gupta (1981)).

Lemma 2.13: based on Lemma 5.1 of (Kostyrko et al., 2000) If $I \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a maximal ideal, then for each $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ we have either $A \in I$ or $\mathbb{N} - A \in I$.

Definition 2.14: A sequence $X = (X_{mn})$ of fuzzy numbers is a function $X$ from the set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ of natural numbers into $L(\mathbb{R}) \times L(\mathbb{R})$. The fuzzy number $X_{mn}$ denotes the value of the function $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We denote $W_{2p}$ denotes the set of all sequences $X = (X_{mn})$ of fuzzy numbers.

Definition 2.15: A sequence $X = (X_{mn})$ of fuzzy numbers is said to be analytic if the set $\{X_{mn} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of fuzzy numbers is analytic.

The notion of statistical convergence for a sequence of complex numbers was introduced by Fridy (1985) and many others. Over the years and under different names statistical convergence has been discussed in the different theories such as the theory of Fourier analysis, ergodic theory and number theory. Later on, it was further investigated from the sequence space point of view and linked with summability theory by Fridy (1985), Salat (1980), Connor (1988), and many others. This concept extends the idea to apply to sequences of fuzzy numbers with Kwon and Shim (2001), Et al al. (2005), Nuray and Savas (1995), and many others.

Definition 2.16: The sequence $X = (X_{mn})$ of fuzzy numbers is said to be almost convergent to a fuzzy number $\tilde{0}$ if $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} d (t_{p_{mn}}(X_{mn}), \tilde{0}) = 0$ uniformly in $m, n$, where

$$t_{p_{mn}}(X_{mn}) = \frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (X_{i+j,i+j+n}).$$

This means that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $p_0 q_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d (t_{p_{mn}}(X_{mn}), \tilde{0}) < \varepsilon$, whenever $p, q \geq p_0 q_0$ and for all $m, n$.

Definition 2.17: A sequence $X = (X_{mn})$ of fuzzy numbers is said to be statistically convergent to a fuzzy number $\tilde{0}$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{m \leq r, n \leq s: d (X^{1/m+n}, \tilde{0}) \geq \varepsilon} {r} \right| = 0.$$

The set of all statistically convergent sequences of fuzzy numbers is denoted by $S_{2p}$.

We note that if a sequence $X = (X_{mn})$ of fuzzy numbers converges to a fuzzy number $\tilde{0}$, then it is statistically converges to $\tilde{0}$. But the converse statement is not necessarily valid.

Let $\mu = (\lambda_{rs})$ be a non-decreasing sequence of positive real numbers tending to infinity and $\lambda_{11} = 1$ and $\lambda_{rs+1, s+1} \leq \lambda_{rs} + 1$, for all $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

The generalized de la Vallee-Poussin mean is defined by:

$$t_{rs}(x) = \frac{1}{l_{rs}} \sum_{p \in I_{rs}} \sum_{q \in I_{rs}} |x_{mn}|^{1/m+n}$$

where, $l_{rs} = [r, s - \lambda_{rs} + 1, rs]$. A sequence $x = (x_{mn})$ of complex numbers is said to be $(V, \lambda)$ - summable to a number if $t_{rs}(x) \rightarrow L$ as $r, s \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1: If $\Gamma^2(X) \in S_{2p}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then

(a) $S_{2p} - \lim \Gamma^2(X) = cS_{2p} - \lim \Gamma^2(X)$
(b) $S_{2p} - \lim \Gamma^2(X + Y) = S_{2p} - \lim \Gamma^2(X) + S_{2p} - \lim \Gamma^2(Y)$

Proof (a): Let $\Gamma^2(X) \in S_{2p}$ so that $S_{2p} - \lim \Gamma^2(X) = \tilde{0}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the inequality,

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i \in I_{rs}} \left[ \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i \leq \gamma_{mn}} \|d (x_i, 0), d(x_j, 0), \ldots, d(x_{m-1}, 0)\|_d \right]^{1/d} \right\}^{1/m+n} \geq \varepsilon$$

for all $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof (b): Suppose that $\Gamma^2(X), \Gamma^2(Y) \in \mathcal{S}^2_{\lambda}F$ so that $\mathcal{S}^2_{\lambda}F - \lim \Gamma^2(X) = \overline{0}$ and $\mathcal{S}^2_{\lambda}F - \lim \Gamma^2(Y) = \overline{0}$. By Minkowski’s inequality, we get,

$$[f_{mn} \left( \|\mu_{mn}(x + y), (d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0), \ldots, d(x_{n-1}, 0))\|_p \right)]^{q_{mn}} \leq [f_{mn} \left( \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0), \ldots, d(x_{n-1}, 0))\|_p \right)]^{q_{mn}} + [f_{mn} \left( \|\mu_{mn}(y), (d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0), \ldots, d(x_{n-1}, 0))\|_p \right)]^{q_{mn}}.$$

Therefore given $\varepsilon > 0$, for all $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\forall m \in I_{rs}, f_{mn} \left( \|\mu_{mn}(x + y), (d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0), \ldots, d(x_{n-1}, 0))\|_p \right) \geq \varepsilon \\
\forall m \in I_{rs}, f_{mn} \left( \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0), \ldots, d(x_{n-1}, 0))\|_p \right) \geq \varepsilon \\
\forall m \in I_{rs}, f_{mn} \left( \|\mu_{mn}(y), (d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0), \ldots, d(x_{n-1}, 0))\|_p \right) \geq \varepsilon
\end{array}\right.$$

This completes the proof.

The following theorem shows that $\lambda -$ statistical convergence and strongly $\lambda -$ convergence are equivalent for double analytic sequences of fuzzy numbers.

**Theorem 3.2:** Let the sequence $\mu = (\mu_{mn})$ be double analytic and $\Gamma^2(X)$ be a sequence of fuzzy numbers. Then

(a) $\Gamma^2(X) \rightarrow \overline{0}(\mathcal{W}^2_{\lambda}, \mu)$ implies $\Gamma^2(X) \rightarrow \overline{0}(\mathcal{S}^2_{\lambda}, \mu)$.

(b) $\Lambda^2(X) \rightarrow \overline{0}(\mathcal{W}^2_{\lambda}, \mu)$ imply $\Lambda^2(X) \rightarrow \overline{0}(\mathcal{W}^2_{\lambda}, \mu)$.

(c) $\mathcal{S}^2_{\lambda}F \cap \Lambda^2_{\lambda}F = (\mathcal{W}^2_{\lambda}, \mu) \cap \Lambda^2F$.

**Proof (a):** Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\Gamma^2(X) \rightarrow \overline{0}(\mathcal{W}^2_{\lambda}, \mu)$ for all $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have,

$$\sum_{m \leq r} \sum_{n \leq s} f_{mn} \left( \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0), \ldots, d(x_{n-1}, 0))\|_p \right) \geq \varepsilon.$$

Hence $\Gamma^2(X) \in \mathcal{S}^2_{\lambda}F$.

**Proof (b):** Suppose that $\Gamma^2(X) \in \mathcal{S}^2_{\lambda}F \cap \Lambda^2F$. Since $\Gamma^2(X) \in \Lambda^2F$, we write,

$$f_{mn} \left( \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0), \ldots, d(x_{n-1}, 0))\|_p \right) \geq \varepsilon.$$

for all $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$G_{rs} = \left\{\begin{array}{l}
m \in I_{rs}, f_{mn} \left( \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0), \ldots, d(x_{n-1}, 0))\|_p \right) \geq \varepsilon \\
\end{array}\right.$$

and

$$H_{rs} = \left\{\begin{array}{l}
m \in I_{rs}, f_{mn} \left( \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0), \ldots, d(x_{n-1}, 0))\|_p \right) \geq \varepsilon \\
\end{array}\right.$$

then we have

$$\sum_{m \leq r} \sum_{n \leq s} f_{mn} \left( \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0), \ldots, d(x_{n-1}, 0))\|_p \right) \geq \varepsilon.$$

Taking the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $r, s \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\Gamma^2(X) \in (\mathcal{W}^2_{\lambda}, q)$.

**Proof (c):** Follows from (a) and (b).

**Theorem 3.3:** If $\liminf_{rs} \left( \frac{\lambda_{rs}}{\tau_s} \right) > 0$, then $\mathcal{S}^2_{\lambda}F \subset \mathcal{S}^2_{\lambda}F$.

**Proof:** Let $\Gamma^2(X) \in \mathcal{S}^2_{\lambda}F$. For given $\varepsilon > 0$, we get

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m \leq r, n \in \mathbb{N} \\
\end{array}\right.$$

where $G_{rs}$ is in the Theorem of 3.2 (b). Thus,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m \leq r, n \\
\end{array}\right.$$

Taking limit as $r, s \rightarrow \infty$ and using $\liminf_{rs} \left( \frac{\lambda_{rs}}{\tau_s} \right) > 0$, we get $\Gamma^2(X) \in \mathcal{S}^2_{\lambda}F$.

**Theorem 3.4:** Let $0 < u_{mn} \leq v_{mn}$ and $(u_{mn} v_{mn}^{-1})$ be double analytic. Then $(\mathcal{W}^2_{\lambda}, v) \subset (\mathcal{W}^2_{\lambda}, u)$.

**Proof:** Let $\Gamma^2(X) \in (\mathcal{W}^2_{\lambda}, v)$. Let

$$w_{mn} = \left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{mn} \left( \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0), \ldots, d(x_{n-1}, 0))\|_p \right) \geq \varepsilon \\
\end{array}\right.$$

where $G_{rs}$ is in the Theorem of 3.2 (b). Thus,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m \leq r, n \\
\end{array}\right.$$

Taking limit as $r, s \rightarrow \infty$ and using $\liminf_{rs} \left( \frac{\lambda_{rs}}{\tau_s} \right) > 0$, we get $\Gamma^2(X) \in \mathcal{S}^2_{\lambda}F$.
for all \( r, s \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \lambda_{mn} = u_{mn}v_{mn}^{-1} \) for all \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \). Then \( 0 < \lambda_{mn} \leq 1 \) for all \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( b \) be a constant such that \( 0 < b \leq \lambda_{mn} \leq 1 \) for all \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Define the sequences \((k_{mn})\) and \((\ell_{mn})\) as follows: For \( w_{mn} \geq 1 \), let \( (k_{mn}) = (w_{mn}) \) and \( \ell_{mn} = 0 \) and for \( w_{mn} < 1 \), let \( k_{mn} = 0 \) and \( \ell_{mn} = w_{mn} \). Then it is clear that for all \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), we have \( w_{mn} = k_{mn} + \ell_{mn} \) and \( w_{mn}^2 = k_{mn}^2 + \ell_{mn}^2 \). Now it follows that \( k_{mn}^2 \leq k_{mn} \leq w_{mn} \) and \( \ell_{mn}^2 \leq \ell_{mn} \). Therefore,

\[
\sum_{m \in E_{s}} \sum_{n \in E_{s}} f_{mn} \left( \| \mu_{mn}(w_{mn})^2 \| \right)^{q_{mn}} \leq \sum_{m \in E_{s}} \sum_{n \in E_{s}} \sum_{r \in E_{s}} \sum_{s \in E_{s}} f_{mn} \left( \| \mu_{mn}(\ell_{mn})^2 \| \right)^{q_{mn}} \leq \sum_{m \in E_{s}} \sum_{n \in E_{s}} \sum_{r \in E_{s}} \sum_{s \in E_{s}} f_{mn} \left( \| \mu_{mn}(k_{mn}) \| \right)^{q_{mn}}
\]

\[\text{Theorem 3.5: } \bar{X}_{2F} = \bar{W}_{\Lambda_{A}^{2}}, \text{ where} \]

\[
\bar{X}_{2F} = \{ X_{mn} : \text{sup } \sum_{r \in E_{s}} \sum_{s \in E_{s}} f_{mn} \left( \| \mu_{mn}(x) \| \right)^{q_{mn}} \leq \infty \}
\]

\[\text{Proof: Let } X = (X_{mn}) \in \bar{W}_{\Lambda_{A}^{2}}. \text{ Then there exists a constant } T_1 > 0 \text{ such that,} \]

\[\left[ f_{mn} \left( \| \mu_{mn}(x) \| \right)^{q_{mn}} \leq \text{sup } \sum_{r \in E_{s}} \sum_{s \in E_{s}} f_{mn} \left( \| \mu_{mn}(x) \| \right)^{q_{mn}} \leq T_1 \]

\[\text{for all } r, s \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ Therefore we have } X = (X_{mn}) \in \bar{X}_{2F}. \text{ Conversely, let } X = (X_{mn}) \in \bar{X}_{2F}. \text{ Then there exists a constant } T_2 > 0 \text{ such that,} \]

\[f_{mn} \left( \| \mu_{mn}(x) \| \right)^{q_{mn}} \leq T_2 \]

\[\text{for all } m, n \text{ and } r, s. \text{ So,} \]

\[\sum_{m \in E_{s}} \sum_{n \in E_{s}} f_{mn} \left( \| \mu_{mn}(x) \| \right)^{q_{mn}} \leq T_2 \sum_{m \in E_{s}} \sum_{n \in E_{s}} 1 \leq T_2 \]

\[\text{for all } m, n \text{ and } r, s. \text{ Thus } X = (X_{mn}) \in \bar{W}_{\Lambda_{A}^{2}}. \]

4. Conclusion

The statistical approach implement of function space of \( F^{2} \) and then well defined of definitions. This logic hypothesis of statistical new approaches of testing and verification of prove the results. This is vital role of that research paper.
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